

# **Turing Machines** 1. Turing Machines Wolfgang Schreiner Wolfgang.Schreiner@risc.jku.at 2. Recognizing Languages Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC) Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria 3. Generating Languages http://www.risc.jku.at http://www.risc.jku.at 1/28Wolfgang Schreiner **Turing Machine Model Turing Machines** tape Turing machine sequence accepted The machine is always in one of a finite set of states. The machine starts its execution in a fixed start state. An infinite tape holds at its beginning the input word.

- Tape is read and written and arbitrarily moved by the machine.
- The machine proceeds in a sequence of state transitions.
  - Machine reads symbol, overwrites it, and moves tape head left or right.
  - The symbol read and the current state determine the symbol written, the move direction, and the next state.
- If the machine cannot make another transition, it terminates.
- The machine signals whether it is in an accepting state. If the machine terminates in an accepting state, the word is accepted.

- 4. Computing Functions
- 5. The Church-Turing Thesis

http://www.risc.jku.at

Turing Machine  $M = (Q, \Gamma, \mu, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ :

- The state set Q, a fine set of states.
- A tape alphabet  $\Gamma$ , a finite set of tape symbols.
- **The blank symbol**  $\Box \in \Gamma$ .
- An input alphabet  $\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \{ \sqcup \}$ .
- The (partial) transition function  $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow_{p} Q \times \Gamma \times \{L', R'\},$ 
  - $\delta(q, x) = (q', x', L'/R') \dots M$  reads in state q symbol x, goes to state q', writes symbol x', and moves the tape head left/right.
- The start state  $q_0 \in Q$

Wolfgang Schreiner

• A set of accepting states (final states)  $F \subseteq Q$ .

The crucial difference to an automaton is the infinite tape that can be arbitrarily moved and written.

Wolfgang Schreiner

2/28

Example





 IVIachine accepts every word of form U''1'' (replacing it by X''Y''

 Wolfgang Schreiner

 http://www.risc.jku.at

### **Generalized Turing Machines**



5/28

7/28

- Infinite tape in both directions.
  - Can be simulated by a machine whose tape is infinite in one direction.
- Multiple tapes.
  - Can be simulated by a machine with a single tape.
- Nondeterministic transitions.
  - We can simulate a nondeterministic M by a deterministic M'.
  - Let r be the maximum number of "choices" that M can make.
  - *M'* operates with 3 tapes.
    - Tape 1 holds the input (tape is only read).
  - M' writes to tape 2 all finite sequences of numbers  $1, \ldots, r$ .
    - First all sequences of length 1, then all of length 2, etc.
  - After writing sequence  $s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$  to tape 2, M' simulates M on tape 3.
    - M' copies the input to tape 3 and performs at most n transitions.
    - In transition *i*, *M* attempts to perform choice  $s_i$ .
    - If choice i is not possible or M terminates after n transitions in a non-accepting state, M' continues with next sequence.
    - If M terminates in accepting state, M' accepts the input.

#### Every generalized Turing machine can be simulated by the core form.

Wolfgang Schreiner

## **Turing Machine Simulator**

http://math.hws.edu/eck/js/turing-machine/TM.html h b a b c c a b a caba b a b c OldState OldSymbol NewSymbol NewState Move **Rule Editor** Change Rule 0 ~ h ¥ R 🗸 ± ×  $\sim$ Old Old New New Move Run Stop State Symbol Symbol State 0 # # h R Run Speed: moderate ~ 0 a @ 1 R Step Step Back 0 b @ 5 R 0 С @ 9 R Reset State to Zero 2 1 # # R 1 R other same 1 Install Example: 2 3 L # a Copy abc 2 other 2 R same http://www.risc.jku.at Wolfgang Schreiner



6/28

1. Turing Machines

#### 2. Recognizing Languages

- 3. Generating Languages
- 4. Computing Functions
- 5. The Church-Turing Thesis

#### **Turing Machine Configurations**



- Configuration  $a_1 \dots a_k q a_{k+1} \dots a_m$ :
  - q: the current state of M.
  - $a_{k+1}$ : the symbol currently under the tape head.
  - $a_1 \dots a_k$ : the portion of the tape left to the tape head.
  - $a_{k+2} \dots a_m$ : the portion right to the head (followed by  $\square \dots$ ).
- Move relation:  $a_1 \dots a_k \ q \ a_{k+1} \dots a_m \vdash b_1 \dots b_l \ p \ b_{l+1} \dots b_m$ If *M* is a situation described by the left configuration, it can make a transition to the situation described by the right configuration.
  - $a_i = b_i$  for all  $i \neq k+1$  and one of the following:
    - l = k+1 and  $\delta(q, a_{k+1}) = (p, b_l, R)$ ,
    - l = k 1 and  $\delta(q, a_{k+1}) = (p, b_{l+2}, L)$ .
- **Extended** move relation:  $c_1 \vdash^* c_2$

M can make in an arbitrary number of moves a transition from the situation described by configuration  $c_1$  to the one described by  $c_2$ .

$$c_1 \vdash^0 c_2 :\Leftrightarrow c_1 = c_2$$
$$c_1 \vdash^{i+1} c_2 :\Leftrightarrow \exists c : c_1 \vdash^i c \land c \vdash c_2$$
$$c_1 \vdash^* c_2 :\Leftrightarrow \exists i \in \mathbb{N} : c_1 \vdash^i c_2$$

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

9/28

# Recursiv. Enumerable/Recursive Languages



Theorem: *L* is recursive, if and only if both *L* and its complement  $\overline{L}$  are recursively enumerable.

Proof  $\Rightarrow$ : Let *L* be a recursive. Since by definition *L* is recursively enumerable, it remains to be shown that also  $\overline{L}$  is recursively enumerable.

Since *L* is recursive, there exists a Turing machine *M* such that *M* halts for every input *w*: if  $w \in L$ , then *M* accepts *w*; if  $w \notin L$ , then *M* does not accept *w*. With the help of *M*, we can construct the following *M'* with  $L(M') = \overline{L}$ :





## The Language of a Turing Machine



The language L(M) of Turing machine M = (Q, Γ, ,, Σ, δ, q<sub>0</sub>, F): The set of all inputs that drive M from its initial configuration to a configuration with an accepting state such that from this configuration no further move is possible:

$$\mathcal{L}(M) := \left\{ w \in \Sigma^* \ \Big| \ \exists a, b \in \Gamma^*, q \in Q : q_0 \ w \vdash^* a \ q \ b \land q \in F \\ \land \neg \exists a', b' \in \Gamma^*, q' \in Q : a \ q \ b \ \vdash a' \ q' \ b' 
ight\}$$

- L is a recursively enumerable language:
  - There exists a Turing machine M such that L = L(M).
- *L* is a recursive language:
  - There exists a Turing machine M such that L = L(M) and M terminates for every possible input.

Every recursive language is recursively enumerable; as we will see, the converse does not hold.

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

10/28

## Recursiv. Enumerable/Recursive Languages



ves

Proof  $\Leftarrow$ : Let *L* be such that both *L* and  $\overline{L}$  are recursively enumerable. We show that *L* is recursive. Since *L* is r.e., there exists *M* such that L = L(M) and *M* halts for  $w \in L$  with M(w) = yes. Since  $\overline{L}$  is r.e., there exists  $\overline{M}$  with  $\overline{L} = L(\overline{M})$  and  $\overline{M}$  halts for  $w \in \overline{L}$  with  $\overline{M}(w) =$  yes. We can thus construct M'' with L(M'') = L that always halts:



11/28

Wolfgang Schreiner

#### **Closure of Recursive Languages**





- the complement  $\overline{L}$ ,
- the union  $L_1 \cup L_2$ ,
- the intersection  $L_1 \cap L_2$
- are recursive languages.

Proof by construction of the corresponding Turing machines.

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

13/28

### Enumerators

Turing machine  $M = (Q, \Gamma, \cup, \emptyset, \delta, q_0, F)$  with special symbol  $\# \in \Gamma$ .

- *M* is an enumerator, if *M* has an additional output tape on which
  - M moves its tape head only to the right, and
  - *M* writes only symbols different from  $\Box$ .
- The generated language Gen(M) of enumerator M is the set of all words that M eventually writes on its output tape.
  - The end of each word is marked by a trailing #.

#### M may run forever and thus Gen(M) may be infinite.



1. Turing Machines
 2. Recognizing Languages
 3. Generating Languages
 4. Computing Functions
 5. The Church-Turing Thesis

# **Recognizing versus Generating Languages**



14/28

Theorem: *L* is recursively enumerable, if and only if there exists some enumerator *M* such that L = Gen(M).

Proof  $\Rightarrow$ : Let *L* be recursively enumerable, i.e., L = L(M') for some *M'*. We construct enumerator *M* such that L = Gen(M).



### **Recognizing versus Generating Languages**



Proof  $\Leftarrow$ : Let L be such that L = Gen(M) for some enumerator M. We show that there exists some Turing machine M' such that L = L(M').



Recognizing is possible, if and only if generating is possible.

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

17/28

### Functions

Take binary relation  $f \subseteq A \times B$ .

- $f: A \rightarrow B$ : f is a total function from A to B.
  - For every  $a \in A$ , there is exactly one  $b \in B$  such that  $(a, b) \in f$ .
- $f : A \rightarrow_p B$ : f is a partial function from A to B.
  - For every  $a \in A$ , there is at most one  $b \in B$  such that  $(a, b) \in f$ .
- Auxiliary notions:

 $domain(f) := \{a \mid \exists b : (a, b) \in f\}$  $range(f) := \{b \mid \exists a : (a, b) \in f\}$  $f(a) := \text{ such } b : (a, b) \in f$ 

Every total function  $f : A \to B$  is a partial function  $f : A \to_p B$ ; every partial function  $f : A \to_p B$  is a total function  $f : domain(f) \to B$ .



- 1. Turing Machines
- 2. Recognizing Languages
- 3. Generating Languages
- 4. Computing Functions
- 5. The Church-Turing Thesis

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

## Functions

- Let  $f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow_{p} \Gamma^*$  where  $\Box \notin \Sigma \cup \Gamma$ .
  - *f* is a function over words in some alphabets.
- *f* is Turing computable, if there exists a Turing machine *M* such that
  - for input w (i.e. initial tape content w<sub>⊥</sub>...), M terminates in an accepting state, if and only if w ∈ domain(f);
  - for input w, M terminates in an accepting state with output w' (i.e. final tape content  $w'_{\perp}...$ ), if and only if w' = f(w).
- Not every function  $f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow_p \Gamma^*$  is Turing computable:
  - The set of all Turing machines is countably infinite: all machines can be ordered in a single list (in the alphabetic order of their definitions).
  - The set of all functions  $\Sigma^* \rightarrow_p \Gamma^*$  is more than countably infinite (Cantor's diagonalization argument).
  - Consequently, there are more functions than Turing machines.

M computes f, if M terminates for arguments in the domain of f with output f(a) and does not terminate for arguments outside the domain.

18/28

#### Example



We show that natural number subtraction is Turing computable.

Subtraction  $\ominus$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ :

$$m \ominus n := \begin{cases} m-n & \text{if } m \ge n \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

• Unary representation of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\underbrace{000\ldots0}_{n \text{ times}} \in L(0^*)$$

Input  $00_{11}0$  shall lead to output 0.

 $2 \ominus 1 = 1.$ 

Idea: replace every pair of 0 in m and n by  $\dots$ 

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

21/28

# Example (Contd)



#### ■ $2 \ominus 1 = 1$ :

 $q_0 00_{\sqcup} 0 \vdash {}_{\sqcup} q_1 0_{\sqcup} 0 \vdash {}_{\sqcup} 0 q_1 {}_{\sqcup} 0 \vdash {}_{\sqcup} 01 q_2 0$  $\vdash \Box 0q_311 \vdash \Box q_3011 \vdash q_3 \Box 011 \vdash \Box q_0011$  $\vdash \Box \Box q_1 11 \vdash \Box \Box 1q_2 1 \vdash \Box \Box 11q_2 \vdash \Box \Box 1q_4 1$  $\vdash \Box \Box q_4 1 \vdash \Box q_4 \vdash \Box 0q_6$ 

#### ■ $1 \ominus 2 = 0$ :

 $q_0 0 \cup 00 \vdash \Box q_1 \cup 00 \vdash \Box 1q_2 00 \vdash \Box q_3 110$  $\vdash q_{3} \downarrow 110 \vdash \lrcorner q_0 110 \vdash \lrcorner \lrcorner q_5 10 \vdash \lrcorner \lrcorner \lrcorner q_5 0$  $\vdash \square \square \square q_5 \vdash \square \square \square \square q_6.$ 

#### For m > n, leading blanks still have to be removed.



δ

 $q_0$ 

 $q_1$ 

 $q_2$ 

 $q_3$ 

 $q_4$ 

 $q_5$ 

 $q_6$ 



### **Turing Computability**

**Theorem**:  $f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow_p \Gamma^*$  is Turing computable, if and only if

$$L_f := \{(a, b) \in \Sigma^* \times \Gamma^* \mid a \in domain(f) \land b = f(a)\}$$

is recursively enumerable.

Proof  $\Rightarrow$ : Since  $f: \Sigma^* \to_{\mathsf{p}} \Gamma^*$  is Turing computable, there exists a Turing machine M which computes f. To show that  $L_f$  is r.e., we construct M' with  $L(M') = L_f$ :

function M'(a, b):  $b' \leftarrow M(a)$ if b' = b then return ves else return no end if end function



### **Turing Computability**



Proof  $\Leftarrow$ : Since  $L_f$  is recursively enumerable, there exists an enumerator M with  $Gen(M) = L_f$ . We construct the following Turing machine M' which computes f:



Computing is possible, if and only if recognizing is possible.

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

25/28

### Algorithms

Computer science is based on algorithms.



What is an "algorithm" and what is computable by an algorithm?



- 1. Turing Machines
- 2. Recognizing Languages
- 3. Generating Languages
- 4. Computing Functions

#### 5. The Church-Turing Thesis

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

26/28

### The Church-Turing Thesis

Church-Turing Thesis: Every problem that is solvable by an algorithm (in an intuitive sense) is solvable by a Turing machine. Thus the set of intuitively computable functions is identical with the set of Turing computable functions.

- Replaces fuzzy notion "algorithm" by precise notion "Turing machine".
- Unprovable thesis, exactly because the notion "algorithm" is fuzzy.
- Substantially validated, because many different computational models have no more computational power than Turing machines.
  - Random access machines, loop programs, recursive functions, goto programs, λ-calculus, rewriting systems, grammars, ...

Turing machines represent the most powerful computational model known, but there are many other equally powerful ("Turing complete") models.