Formal Semantics of Programming Languages Exercise 2 (June 9)

Wolfgang Schreiner Wolfgang.Schreiner@risc.jku.at

May 18, 2017

The exercise is to be submitted by the deadline stated above as a report with a decent cover page (title of the course, your name, Matrikelnummer, email address) in one of the following forms:

- 1. either as a single PDF file uploaded in Moodle (no emails, please), or
- 2. as a stapled paper report handed out to me (in class or in my mailbox).

Exercise 2: Expressions with Side effects

Take the following language of programs P, declarations d, commands C, expressions E, numerals N, and identifiers I:

$$P ::= D; C$$

$$D ::= _ | var I; D$$

$$C ::= I := E | C_1; C_2 | if E_1 = E_2 then C | if E_1 = E_2 then C_1 else C_2$$

$$E ::= I | N | E_1 + E_2 | exec C result E$$

The expression "exec C result E" executes C and then returns the result of the evaluation of E. Correspondingly, the evaluation of an expression may alter the store.

1. Define a denotational semantics for this language. In this semantics, a declaration *D* introduces a set of identifiers as an *environment*; the result is an error declaration, if the same variable is declared twice.

A command valuation $\mathbb{C}[[C]](e)(s)$ describes the result of executing command C in environment e and store s; the result is an error store, if a variable not declared in e was accessed (read or written).

- 2. Define a corresponding big-step operational semantics for this language. Use the same notions of environment and store that you also used in the denotational semantics. In particular, it shall be possible to derive a judgement for an erroneous program with a result configuration that indicates that an error occurred.
- 3. Formulate for each domain P, D, C, E the statement "the operational semantics of the domain is equivalent to its denotational semantics".
- 4. Prove the equivalence statement for the expression exec C result E (in this proof you can assume that the equivalence statement holds for E and C).