

# Nominal Anti-Unification with an Algorithm to Decide Equivariance

Alexander Baumgartner  
Temur Kutsia  
Jordi Levy  
Mateu Villaret

# Anti-Unification Problem

- ▶ Given two terms  $t_1, t_2$ .
- ▶ Find a generalization term  $t$  such that  $t_1, t_2$  are instances of  $t$ .
- ▶ Interesting generalizations are the least general ones (lggs).

# Anti-Unification Problem

- ▶ Given two terms  $t_1, t_2$ .
- ▶ Find a generalization term  $t$  such that  $t_1, t_2$  are instances of  $t$ .
- ▶ Interesting generalizations are the least general ones (lggs).

Input terms	$f(a, g(b), b)$ and $f(a, g(c), c)$
Generalization	$f(a, X, Y)$
Lgg	$f(a, g(X), X)$

# Nominal Term

- ▶ Function symbols ( $f, g, h$ )
- ▶ Atoms ( $a, b, c, d$ )
- ▶ Variables ( $X, Y, Z$ )

Variables can be instantiated and atoms can be bound.

# Nominal Term

Grammar:  $t ::= f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid a \mid a.t \mid \pi \cdot X$

- ▶ Application
- ▶ Atom
- ▶ Abstraction
- ▶ Suspension

# Nominal Term

Grammar:  $t ::= f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid a \mid a.t \mid \pi \cdot X$

- ▶ Application
- ▶ Atom
- ▶ Abstraction
- ▶ Suspension
  - ▶  $\pi$  is a permutation, represented as a list of swappings.
  - ▶ A swapping  $(a b)$  is a pair of atoms.

# Nominal Term

Grammar:  $t ::= f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid a \mid a.t \mid \pi \cdot X$

- ▶ Application
- ▶ Atom
- ▶ Abstraction
- ▶ Suspension
  - ▶  $\pi$  is a permutation, represented as a list of swappings.
  - ▶ A swapping  $(a b)$  is a pair of atoms.
    - ▶  $(a b) \cdot a = b$ ;  $(a b) \cdot b = a$
    - ▶  $(a b) \cdot f(a, b) = f(b, a)$
    - ▶  $(a b) \cdot a.a = b.b$
    - ▶  $(a b)(c d) \cdot t = (a b) \cdot ((c d) \cdot t)$

# Nominal Anti-Unification – Example

Input terms	$f(a, b, c)$ and $f(a, c, d)$
Generalization	$f(a, X, Y)$
Lgg	$f(a, X, (b\ d)(b\ c) \cdot X)$



# Nominal Anti-Unification – Example

Input terms	$f(a, b, c)$ and $f(a, c, d)$
Generalization	$f(a, X, Y)$
Lgg	$f(a, X, (b\ d)(b\ c) \cdot X)$
Input terms	$f(a.a, b)$ and $f(a.X, (a\ b) \cdot X)$
Generalization	$f(a.Y, Z)$
Lgg	$f(a.Y, (a\ b) \cdot Y)$

# Freshness

## *Definition (Freshness constraint)*

A *freshness constraint* is a pair of the form  $a\#X$  stating that the instantiation of  $X$  cannot contain free occurrences of  $a$ .

## *Definition (Freshness context)*

A *freshness context*  $\nabla$  is a finite set of freshness constraints.

## *Definition (Term-in-context)*

A *term-in-context* is a pair  $\langle \nabla, t \rangle$  of a freshness context and a term.

# Definition of $\alpha$ -Equivalence

$$\frac{}{\nabla \vdash a \approx a} (\approx\text{-atom}) \quad \frac{\nabla \vdash t \approx t'}{\nabla \vdash a.t \approx a.t'} (\approx\text{-abs-1})$$

$$\frac{a \neq a' \quad \nabla \vdash t \approx (a a') \cdot t' \quad \nabla \vdash a \# t'}{\nabla \vdash a.t \approx a'.t'} (\approx\text{-abs-2})$$

$$\frac{a \# X \in \nabla \text{ for all } a \text{ such that } \pi \cdot a \neq \pi' \cdot a}{\nabla \vdash \pi \cdot X \approx \pi' \cdot X} (\approx\text{-susp.})$$

$$\frac{\nabla \vdash t_1 \approx t'_1 \quad \dots \quad \nabla \vdash t_n \approx t'_n}{\nabla \vdash f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \approx f(t'_1, \dots, t'_n)} (\approx\text{-application})$$

where the freshness predicate  $\#$  is defined by

$$\frac{a \neq a'}{\nabla \vdash a \# a'} (\#\text{-atom}) \quad \frac{(\pi^{-1} \cdot a \# X) \in \nabla}{\nabla \vdash a \# \pi \cdot X} (\#\text{-susp.})$$

$$\frac{\nabla \vdash a \# t_1 \quad \dots \quad \nabla \vdash a \# t_n}{\nabla \vdash a \# f(t_1, \dots, t_n)} (\#\text{-application})$$

$$\frac{}{\nabla \vdash a \# a.t} (\#\text{-abst-1}) \quad \frac{a \neq a' \quad \nabla \vdash a \# t}{\nabla \vdash a \# a'.t} (\#\text{-abst-2})$$

# Substitution Application to $\nabla$

- ▶ We say that a substitution  $\sigma$  *respects* a freshness constraint  $\nabla$ , if for all  $X$ ,  $\text{FA}^{-s}(X\sigma) \cap \{a \mid a\#X \in \nabla\} = \emptyset$ .

# Substitution Application to $\nabla$

- ▶ We say that a substitution  $\sigma$  *respects* a freshness constraint  $\nabla$ , if for all  $X$ ,  $\text{FA}^{-s}(X\sigma) \cap \{a \mid a\#X \in \nabla\} = \emptyset$ .
- ▶ Rule based system FC works on tuples  $F; \nabla$  and transforms  $F = \{a_1\#t_1, \dots, a_n\#t_n\}$  into a freshness context  $\nabla$ , if possible:
  - ▶ Del-FC:  $\{a\#b\} \cup F; \nabla \Longrightarrow F; \nabla$ , if  $a \neq b$
  - ▶ Abs-FC1:  $\{a\#a.t\} \cup F; \nabla \Longrightarrow F; \nabla$
  - ▶ Abs-FC2:  $\{a\#b.t\} \cup F; \nabla \Longrightarrow \{a\#t\} \cup F; \nabla$ , if  $a \neq b$
  - ▶ Dec-FC:  $\{a\#f(t_1, \dots, t_n)\} \cup F; \nabla \Longrightarrow \{a\#t_1, \dots, a\#t_n\} \cup F; \nabla$
  - ▶ Sus-FC:  $\{a\#\pi \cdot X\} \cup F; \nabla \Longrightarrow F; \{\pi^{-1} \cdot a\#X\} \cup \nabla$

# Substitution Application to $\nabla$

- ▶ We say that a substitution  $\sigma$  *respects* a freshness constraint  $\nabla$ , if for all  $X$ ,  $\text{FA}^{-s}(X\sigma) \cap \{a \mid a\#X \in \nabla\} = \emptyset$ .
- ▶ Rule based system FC works on tuples  $F; \nabla$  and transforms  $F = \{a_1\#t_1, \dots, a_n\#t_n\}$  into a freshness context  $\nabla$ , if possible:
  - ▶ Del-FC:  $\{a\#b\} \cup F; \nabla \Longrightarrow F; \nabla$ , if  $a \neq b$
  - ▶ Abs-FC1:  $\{a\#a.t\} \cup F; \nabla \Longrightarrow F; \nabla$
  - ▶ Abs-FC2:  $\{a\#b.t\} \cup F; \nabla \Longrightarrow \{a\#t\} \cup F; \nabla$ , if  $a \neq b$
  - ▶ Dec-FC:  $\{a\#f(t_1, \dots, t_n)\} \cup F; \nabla \Longrightarrow \{a\#t_1, \dots, a\#t_n\} \cup F; \nabla$
  - ▶ Sus-FC:  $\{a\#\pi \cdot X\} \cup F; \nabla \Longrightarrow F; \{\pi^{-1} \cdot a\#X\} \cup \nabla$
- ▶ Given a freshness context  $\nabla$  and a substitution  $\sigma$ , we define  $\nabla\sigma = \text{FC}(\{a\#X\sigma \mid a\#X \in \nabla\})$ .

# Definition of More General

## *Definition (More general)*

We say that a term-in-context  $\langle \nabla_1, t_1 \rangle$  is *more general* than a term-in-context  $\langle \nabla_2, t_2 \rangle$ , written  $\langle \nabla_1, t_1 \rangle \preceq \langle \nabla_2, t_2 \rangle$ , if there exists a substitution  $\sigma$ , which respects  $\nabla_1$ , such that  $\nabla_1 \sigma \subseteq \nabla_2$  and  $\nabla_2 \vdash t_1 \sigma \approx t_2$ .

# Definition of More General

## *Definition (More general)*

We say that a term-in-context  $\langle \nabla_1, t_1 \rangle$  is *more general* than a term-in-context  $\langle \nabla_2, t_2 \rangle$ , written  $\langle \nabla_1, t_1 \rangle \preceq \langle \nabla_2, t_2 \rangle$ , if there exists a substitution  $\sigma$ , which respects  $\nabla_1$ , such that  $\nabla_1 \sigma \subseteq \nabla_2$  and  $\nabla_2 \vdash t_1 \sigma \approx t_2$ .

- ▶  $\langle \{a\#X\}, f(a) \rangle \simeq \langle \emptyset, f(a) \rangle$



# Definition of More General

## *Definition (More general)*

We say that a term-in-context  $\langle \nabla_1, t_1 \rangle$  is *more general* than a term-in-context  $\langle \nabla_2, t_2 \rangle$ , written  $\langle \nabla_1, t_1 \rangle \preceq \langle \nabla_2, t_2 \rangle$ , if there exists a substitution  $\sigma$ , which respects  $\nabla_1$ , such that  $\nabla_1 \sigma \subseteq \nabla_2$  and  $\nabla_2 \vdash t_1 \sigma \approx t_2$ .

- ▶  $\langle \{a\#X\}, f(a) \rangle \simeq \langle \emptyset, f(a) \rangle$
- ▶  $\langle \emptyset, f(X) \rangle \preceq \langle \{a\#X\}, f(X) \rangle$

# Definition of More General

## Definition (More general)

We say that a term-in-context  $\langle \nabla_1, t_1 \rangle$  is *more general* than a term-in-context  $\langle \nabla_2, t_2 \rangle$ , written  $\langle \nabla_1, t_1 \rangle \preceq \langle \nabla_2, t_2 \rangle$ , if there exists a substitution  $\sigma$ , which respects  $\nabla_1$ , such that  $\nabla_1 \sigma \subseteq \nabla_2$  and  $\nabla_2 \vdash t_1 \sigma \approx t_2$ .

- ▶  $\langle \{a\#X\}, f(a) \rangle \simeq \langle \emptyset, f(a) \rangle$
- ▶  $\langle \emptyset, f(X) \rangle \preceq \langle \{a\#X\}, f(X) \rangle$
- ▶  $\langle \emptyset, f(X) \rangle \preceq \langle \{a\#Y\}, f(Y) \rangle$

# Definition of More General

## Definition (More general)

We say that a term-in-context  $\langle \nabla_1, t_1 \rangle$  is *more general* than a term-in-context  $\langle \nabla_2, t_2 \rangle$ , written  $\langle \nabla_1, t_1 \rangle \preceq \langle \nabla_2, t_2 \rangle$ , if there exists a substitution  $\sigma$ , which respects  $\nabla_1$ , such that  $\nabla_1 \sigma \subseteq \nabla_2$  and  $\nabla_2 \vdash t_1 \sigma \approx t_2$ .

- ▶  $\langle \{a\#X\}, f(a) \rangle \simeq \langle \emptyset, f(a) \rangle$
- ▶  $\langle \emptyset, f(X) \rangle \preceq \langle \{a\#X\}, f(X) \rangle$
- ▶  $\langle \emptyset, f(X) \rangle \preceq \langle \{a\#Y\}, f(Y) \rangle$
- ▶  $\langle \{a\#X\}, f(X) \rangle \not\preceq \langle \emptyset, f(Y) \rangle$

# Definition of More General

## Definition (More general)

We say that a term-in-context  $\langle \nabla_1, t_1 \rangle$  is *more general* than a term-in-context  $\langle \nabla_2, t_2 \rangle$ , written  $\langle \nabla_1, t_1 \rangle \preceq \langle \nabla_2, t_2 \rangle$ , if there exists a substitution  $\sigma$ , which respects  $\nabla_1$ , such that  $\nabla_1 \sigma \subseteq \nabla_2$  and  $\nabla_2 \vdash t_1 \sigma \approx t_2$ .

- ▶  $\langle \{a\#X\}, f(a) \rangle \simeq \langle \emptyset, f(a) \rangle$
- ▶  $\langle \emptyset, f(X) \rangle \preceq \langle \{a\#X\}, f(X) \rangle$
- ▶  $\langle \emptyset, f(X) \rangle \preceq \langle \{a\#Y\}, f(Y) \rangle$
- ▶  $\langle \{a\#X\}, f(X) \rangle \not\preceq \langle \emptyset, f(Y) \rangle$
- ▶  $\langle \{a\#X\}, f(X) \rangle \not\preceq \langle \{a\#X\}, f(a) \rangle$

# Definition of More General

## Definition (More general)

We say that a term-in-context  $\langle \nabla_1, t_1 \rangle$  is *more general* than a term-in-context  $\langle \nabla_2, t_2 \rangle$ , written  $\langle \nabla_1, t_1 \rangle \preceq \langle \nabla_2, t_2 \rangle$ , if there exists a substitution  $\sigma$ , which respects  $\nabla_1$ , such that  $\nabla_1 \sigma \subseteq \nabla_2$  and  $\nabla_2 \vdash t_1 \sigma \approx t_2$ .

- ▶  $\langle \{a\#X\}, f(a) \rangle \simeq \langle \emptyset, f(a) \rangle$
- ▶  $\langle \emptyset, f(X) \rangle \preceq \langle \{a\#X\}, f(X) \rangle$
- ▶  $\langle \emptyset, f(X) \rangle \preceq \langle \{a\#Y\}, f(Y) \rangle$
- ▶  $\langle \{a\#X\}, f(X) \rangle \not\preceq \langle \emptyset, f(Y) \rangle$
- ▶  $\langle \{a\#X\}, f(X) \rangle \not\preceq \langle \{a\#X\}, f(a) \rangle$
- ▶  $\langle \{b\#X\}, (ab) \cdot X \rangle \simeq \langle \{c\#X\}, (ac) \cdot X \rangle$

# Input / Output

- ▶ **Given:** Two nominal terms  $t$  and  $s$  of the same sort, a freshness context  $\nabla$ , and a finite set of atoms  $A$  such that  $t$ ,  $s$ , and  $\nabla$  are based on  $A$ .
- ▶ **Find:** A nominal term  $r$  and a freshness context  $\Gamma$ , such that the term-in-context  $\langle \Gamma, r \rangle$  is an  $A$ -based least general generalization of the terms-in-context  $\langle \nabla, t \rangle$  and  $\langle \nabla, s \rangle$ .

Input terms	$f(a, b)$ and $f(b, c)$
Input context	$\emptyset$
Atoms $A$	$\{a, b, c, d\}$
No lgg	$\langle \emptyset, f(Y, (ab)(bc) \cdot Y) \rangle$
$A$ -based lgg	$\langle \{c\#Y, d\#Y\}, f(Y, (ab)(bc) \cdot Y) \rangle$

# The Anti-Unification Algorithm

- ▶ Rule-based formulation:
  - ▶ 4 transformation rules.
- ▶ Works on tuples  $P; S; \nabla; \text{Atoms}; \Gamma; \sigma$ :
  - ▶  $P$  and  $S$  are sets of AUEs of the form  $X : t \triangleq s$ ;
  - ▶ Global freshness context  $\nabla$ ;
  - ▶ Global set  $\text{Atoms}$  is a finite set of atoms;
  - ▶ Computed freshness context  $\Gamma$ ;
  - ▶ Computed substitution  $\sigma$ .

# Illustration of Anti-Unification Algorithm

We illustrate the algorithm on the input:

$f(a, b)$  and  $f(b, c)$ ,  $\nabla = \emptyset$ ,  $\text{Atoms} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ :

$$\{X : f(a, b) \triangleq f(b, c)\}; \emptyset; \emptyset; \varepsilon$$



# Illustration of Anti-Unification Algorithm

We illustrate the algorithm on the input:

$f(a, b)$  and  $f(b, c)$ ,  $\nabla = \emptyset$ ,  $\text{Atoms} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \{X : f(a, b) \triangleq f(b, c)\}; \emptyset; \emptyset; \varepsilon \\ \implies_{\text{Dec}} & \{Y : a \triangleq b, Z : b \triangleq c\}; \emptyset; \emptyset; \{X \mapsto f(Y, Z)\} \end{aligned}$$

# Illustration of Anti-Unification Algorithm

We illustrate the algorithm on the input:

$f(a, b)$  and  $f(b, c)$ ,  $\nabla = \emptyset$ ,  $\text{Atoms} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \{X : f(a, b) \triangleq f(b, c)\}; \emptyset; \emptyset; \varepsilon \\ \implies_{\text{Dec}} & \{Y : a \triangleq b, Z : b \triangleq c\}; \emptyset; \emptyset; \{X \mapsto f(Y, Z)\} \\ \implies_{\text{Sol}} & \{Z : b \triangleq c\}; \{Y : a \triangleq b\}; \\ & \{c\#Y, d\#Y\}; \{X \mapsto f(Y, Z)\} \end{aligned}$$

# Illustration of Anti-Unification Algorithm

We illustrate the algorithm on the input:

$f(a, b)$  and  $f(b, c)$ ,  $\nabla = \emptyset$ ,  $\text{Atoms} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \{X : f(a, b) \triangleq f(b, c)\}; \emptyset; \emptyset; \varepsilon \\ \implies_{\text{Dec}} & \{Y : a \triangleq b, Z : b \triangleq c\}; \emptyset; \emptyset; \{X \mapsto f(Y, Z)\} \\ \implies_{\text{Sol}} & \{Z : b \triangleq c\}; \{Y : a \triangleq b\}; \\ & \{c\#Y, d\#Y\}; \{X \mapsto f(Y, Z)\} \\ \implies_{\text{Sol}} & \emptyset; \{Y : a \triangleq b, Z : b \triangleq c\}; \\ & \{c\#Y, d\#Y, a\#Z, d\#Z\}; \{X \mapsto f(Y, Z)\} \end{aligned}$$

# Illustration of Anti-Unification Algorithm

We illustrate the algorithm on the input:

$f(a, b)$  and  $f(b, c)$ ,  $\nabla = \emptyset$ ,  $\text{Atoms} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \{X : f(a, b) \triangleq f(b, c)\}; \emptyset; \emptyset; \varepsilon \\ \implies_{\text{Dec}} & \{Y : a \triangleq b, Z : b \triangleq c\}; \emptyset; \emptyset; \{X \mapsto f(Y, Z)\} \\ \implies_{\text{Sol}} & \{Z : b \triangleq c\}; \{Y : a \triangleq b\}; \\ & \{c\#Y, d\#Y\}; \{X \mapsto f(Y, Z)\} \\ \implies_{\text{Sol}} & \emptyset; \{Y : a \triangleq b, Z : b \triangleq c\}; \\ & \{c\#Y, d\#Y, a\#Z, d\#Z\}; \{X \mapsto f(Y, Z)\} \\ \implies_{\text{Mer}} & \emptyset; \{Y : a \triangleq b\}; \\ & \{c\#Y, d\#Y\}; \{X \mapsto f(Y, (ab)(bc) \cdot Y)\} \end{aligned}$$

# Illustration of Anti-Unification Algorithm

We illustrate the algorithm on the input:

$f(a, b)$  and  $f(b, c)$ ,  $\nabla = \emptyset$ ,  $\text{Atoms} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \{X : f(a, b) \triangleq f(b, c)\}; \emptyset; \emptyset; \varepsilon \\ \implies_{\text{Dec}} & \{Y : a \triangleq b, Z : b \triangleq c\}; \emptyset; \emptyset; \{X \mapsto f(Y, Z)\} \\ \implies_{\text{Sol}} & \{Z : b \triangleq c\}; \{Y : a \triangleq b\}; \\ & \{c\#Y, d\#Y\}; \{X \mapsto f(Y, Z)\} \\ \implies_{\text{Sol}} & \emptyset; \{Y : a \triangleq b, Z : b \triangleq c\}; \\ & \{c\#Y, d\#Y, a\#Z, d\#Z\}; \{X \mapsto f(Y, Z)\} \\ \implies_{\text{Mer}} & \emptyset; \{Y : a \triangleq b\}; \\ & \{c\#Y, d\#Y\}; \{X \mapsto f(Y, (ab)(bc) \cdot Y)\} \end{aligned}$$

The Atoms-based lgg is  $\langle \{c\#Y, d\#Y\}, f(Y, (ab)(bc) \cdot Y) \rangle$ .

# The Equivariance Problem

► Mer: **Merging**

$$P; \{X : t_1 \triangleq s_1, Y : t_2 \triangleq s_2\} \cup S; \Gamma; \sigma \Longrightarrow$$
$$P; \{X : t_1 \triangleq s_1\} \cup S; \Gamma\{Y \mapsto \pi \cdot X\}; \sigma\{Y \mapsto \pi \cdot X\},$$

where  $\pi : \text{Atoms} \longrightarrow \text{Atoms}$  is a permutation such that

$$\nabla \vdash \pi \cdot t_1 \approx t_2, \text{ and } \nabla \vdash \pi \cdot s_1 \approx s_2.$$

# The Equivariance Problem

► Mer: **Merging**

$$P; \{X : t_1 \triangleq s_1, Y : t_2 \triangleq s_2\} \cup S; \Gamma; \sigma \Longrightarrow$$
$$P; \{X : t_1 \triangleq s_1\} \cup S; \Gamma\{Y \mapsto \pi \cdot X\}; \sigma\{Y \mapsto \pi \cdot X\},$$

where  $\pi : \text{Atoms} \rightarrow \text{Atoms}$  is a permutation such that

$\nabla \vdash \pi \cdot t_1 \approx t_2$ , and  $\nabla \vdash \pi \cdot s_1 \approx s_2$ .

- **Given:** Pairs of nominal terms  $(t_1, t_2)$  and  $(s_1, s_2)$  of the same sort, a freshness context  $\nabla$ , and a finite set of atoms  $A$ .
- **Find:** An  $A$ -based permutation  $\pi$ , such that  $\nabla \vdash \pi \cdot t_1 \approx t_2$ , and  $\nabla \vdash \pi \cdot s_1 \approx s_2$ , if it exists.

# Deciding Equivariance

- ▶ Rule-based algorithm  $\mathcal{E}$  works in two phases:
  - ▶ Simplification phase.
  - ▶ Permutation computation.



# Deciding Equivariance

- ▶ Rule-based algorithm  $\mathcal{E}$  works in two phases:
  - ▶ Simplification phase.
  - ▶ Permutation computation.
- ▶ Tuples of the form  $E; \nabla; A; \pi$ :
  - ▶  $E$  is a set of equivariance equations of the form  $\langle t_1, \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle t_2, \rho_2 \rangle$ .
    - ▶  $t_1, t_2$  are nominal terms;
    - ▶  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  are permutations.

# Deciding Equivariance

- ▶ Rule-based algorithm  $\mathcal{E}$  works in two phases:
  - ▶ Simplification phase.
  - ▶ Permutation computation.
- ▶ Tuples of the form  $E; \nabla; A; \pi$ :
  - ▶  $E$  is a set of equivariance equations of the form  $\langle t_1, \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle t_2, \rho_2 \rangle$ .
    - ▶  $t_1, t_2$  are nominal terms;
    - ▶  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  are permutations.
  - ▶  $\nabla$  is a freshness context.
  - ▶  $A$  is a finite set of atoms.
  - ▶  $\pi$  is a  $A$ -based permutation.

# Deciding Equivariance

- ▶ Rule-based algorithm  $\mathcal{E}$  works in two phases:
  - ▶ Simplification phase.
  - ▶ Permutation computation.
- ▶ Tuples of the form  $E; \nabla; A; \pi$ :
  - ▶  $E$  is a set of equivariance equations of the form  $\langle t_1, \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle t_2, \rho_2 \rangle$ .
    - ▶  $t_1, t_2$  are nominal terms;
    - ▶  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  are permutations.
  - ▶  $\nabla$  is a freshness context.
  - ▶  $A$  is a finite set of atoms.
  - ▶  $\pi$  is a  $A$ -based permutation.
- ▶ Two final states:
  - ▶ Success state:  $\pi$  holds the computed permutation.
  - ▶ Failure state  $\perp$ .

► Dec-E: **Decomposition**

$$\{\langle f(t_1, \dots, t_m), \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle f(s_1, \dots, s_m), \rho_2 \rangle\} \cup E; \nabla; A; Id \implies \\ \{\langle t_1, \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle s_1, \rho_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle t_m, \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle s_m, \rho_2 \rangle\} \cup E; \nabla; A; Id.$$

# Simplification Phase of $\mathcal{E}$

► Dec-E: **Decomposition**

$$\{\langle f(t_1, \dots, t_m), \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle f(s_1, \dots, s_m), \rho_2 \rangle\} \cup E; \nabla; A; Id \implies \\ \{\langle t_1, \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle s_1, \rho_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle t_m, \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle s_m, \rho_2 \rangle\} \cup E; \nabla; A; Id.$$

► Alp-E: **Alpha Equivalence**

$$\{\langle a.t, \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle b.s, \rho_2 \rangle\} \cup E; \nabla; A; Id \implies$$

$$\{\langle t, \rho_3 \rangle \approx \langle s, \rho_4 \rangle\} \cup E; \nabla \cup \{\acute{c}\#X \mid X \in \text{Vars}(t, s)\}; A; Id,$$

where  $\acute{c}$  is a fresh atom and  $a, b, \acute{c}$  are of the same sort.

$$\rho_3 = (\rho_1 \cdot a \ \acute{c})\rho_1 \text{ and } \rho_4 = (\rho_2 \cdot b \ \acute{c})\rho_2.$$

# Permutation Computation of $\mathfrak{E}$

► Rem-E: **Remove**

$\{\langle a, \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle b, \rho_2 \rangle \cup E; \nabla; A; \pi \implies E; \nabla; A \setminus \{\rho_2 \cdot b\}; \pi,$   
where  $\pi \rho_1 \cdot a = \rho_2 \cdot b$ .

# Permutation Computation of $\mathfrak{E}$

► Rem-E: **Remove**

$\{\langle a, \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle b, \rho_2 \rangle\} \cup E; \nabla; A; \pi \implies E; \nabla; A \setminus \{\rho_2 \cdot b\}; \pi,$   
where  $\pi \rho_1 \cdot a = \rho_2 \cdot b$ .

► Sol-E: **Solve**

$\{\langle a, \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle b, \rho_2 \rangle\} \cup E; \nabla; A; \pi \implies E; \nabla; A \setminus \{d\}; (c \ d)\pi,$   
where  $c, d \in A$ ,  $c = \pi \rho_1 \cdot a$ ,  $d = \rho_2 \cdot b$ , and  $c \neq d$ .

# Permutation Computation of $\mathfrak{E}$

► Rem-E: **Remove**

$\{\langle a, \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle b, \rho_2 \rangle\} \cup E; \nabla; A; \pi \implies E; \nabla; A \setminus \{\rho_2 \cdot b\}; \pi,$   
where  $\pi \rho_1 \cdot a = \rho_2 \cdot b$ .

► Sol-E: **Solve**

$\{\langle a, \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle b, \rho_2 \rangle\} \cup E; \nabla; A; \pi \implies E; \nabla; A \setminus \{d\}; (c d)\pi,$   
where  $c, d \in A$ ,  $c = \pi \rho_1 \cdot a$ ,  $d = \rho_2 \cdot b$ , and  $c \neq d$ .

► Sus-E: **Suspension**

$\{\langle \pi_1 \cdot X, \rho_1 \rangle \approx \langle \pi_2 \cdot X, \rho_2 \rangle\} \cup E; \nabla; A; \pi \implies$   
 $\{\langle \pi^{-1} \cdot a, Id \rangle \approx \langle b, Id \rangle \mid (a, b) \in \text{dp}(\pi \rho_1 \pi_1, \rho_2 \pi_2, \nabla, X)\} \cup E;$   
 $\nabla; A \cap (\text{da}(\pi \rho_1 \pi_1, \rho_2 \pi_2, \nabla, X) \cup \{\pi \rho_1 \pi_1 \cdot a \mid a \# X \in \nabla\}); \pi.$



# Demonstration of $\mathfrak{E}$

Consider the term-pairs  $(a, a)$  and  $(a.(a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, b.X)$ .

Atoms =  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ , and  $\nabla = \{a\#X\}$ .

$$\{\langle a, Id \rangle \approx \langle a, Id \rangle, \langle a.(a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, Id \rangle \approx \langle b.X, Id \rangle\};$$
$$\{a\#X\}; \{a, b, c, d\}; Id$$

# Demonstration of $\mathfrak{E}$

Consider the term-pairs  $(a, a)$  and  $(a.(a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, b.X)$ .

Atoms =  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ , and  $\nabla = \{a\#X\}$ .

$$\{\langle a, Id \rangle \approx \langle a, Id \rangle, \langle a.(a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, Id \rangle \approx \langle b.X, Id \rangle\};$$
$$\{a\#X\}; \{a, b, c, d\}; Id$$

$$\implies_{\text{Alp-E}} \{\langle a, Id \rangle \approx \langle a, Id \rangle, \langle (a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, (a\ \acute{e}) \rangle \approx \langle X, (b\ \acute{e}) \rangle\};$$
$$\{a\#X, \acute{e}\#X\}; \{a, b, c, d\}; Id$$

---

# Demonstration of $\mathfrak{E}$

Consider the term-pairs  $(a, a)$  and  $(a.(a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, b.X)$ .

Atoms =  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ , and  $\nabla = \{a\#X\}$ .

$$\{\langle a, Id \rangle \approx \langle a, Id \rangle, \langle a.(a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, Id \rangle \approx \langle b.X, Id \rangle\}; \\ \{a\#X\}; \{a, b, c, d\}; Id$$

$$\implies \text{Alp-E } \{\langle a, Id \rangle \approx \langle a, Id \rangle, \langle (a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, (a\ \acute{e}) \rangle \approx \langle X, (b\ \acute{e}) \rangle\}; \\ \{a\#X, \acute{e}\#X\}; \{a, b, c, d\}; Id$$

---

$$\implies \text{Rem-E } \{\langle (a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, (a\ \acute{e}) \rangle \approx \langle X, (b\ \acute{e}) \rangle\}; \\ \{a\#X, \acute{e}\#X\}; \{b, c, d\}; Id$$

# Demonstration of $\mathfrak{E}$

Consider the term-pairs  $(a, a)$  and  $(a.(a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, b.X)$ .

Atoms =  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ , and  $\nabla = \{a\#X\}$ .

$$\{\langle a, Id \rangle \approx \langle a, Id \rangle, \langle a.(a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, Id \rangle \approx \langle b.X, Id \rangle\};$$
$$\{a\#X\}; \{a, b, c, d\}; Id$$

$$\implies_{\text{Alp-E}} \{\langle a, Id \rangle \approx \langle a, Id \rangle, \langle (a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, (a\ \acute{e}) \rangle \approx \langle X, (b\ \acute{e}) \rangle\};$$
$$\{a\#X, \acute{e}\#X\}; \{a, b, c, d\}; Id$$

---

$$\implies_{\text{Rem-E}} \{\langle (a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, (a\ \acute{e}) \rangle \approx \langle X, (b\ \acute{e}) \rangle\};$$
$$\{a\#X, \acute{e}\#X\}; \{b, c, d\}; Id$$

$$\implies_{\text{Sus-E}} \{\langle c, Id \rangle \approx \langle d, Id \rangle, \langle d, Id \rangle \approx \langle c, Id \rangle\};$$
$$\{a\#X, \acute{e}\#X\}; \{b, c, d\}; Id$$

# Demonstration of $\mathfrak{E}$

Consider the term-pairs  $(a, a)$  and  $(a.(a b)(c d) \cdot X, b.X)$ .

Atoms =  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ , and  $\nabla = \{a\#X\}$ .

$$\{\langle a, Id \rangle \approx \langle a, Id \rangle, \langle a.(a b)(c d) \cdot X, Id \rangle \approx \langle b.X, Id \rangle\}; \\ \{a\#X\}; \{a, b, c, d\}; Id$$

$$\implies \text{Alp-E } \{\langle a, Id \rangle \approx \langle a, Id \rangle, \langle (a b)(c d) \cdot X, (a \acute{e}) \rangle \approx \langle X, (b \acute{e}) \rangle\}; \\ \{a\#X, \acute{e}\#X\}; \{a, b, c, d\}; Id$$

---

$$\implies \text{Rem-E } \{\langle (a b)(c d) \cdot X, (a \acute{e}) \rangle \approx \langle X, (b \acute{e}) \rangle\}; \\ \{a\#X, \acute{e}\#X\}; \{b, c, d\}; Id$$

$$\implies \text{Sus-E } \{\langle c, Id \rangle \approx \langle d, Id \rangle, \langle d, Id \rangle \approx \langle c, Id \rangle\}; \\ \{a\#X, \acute{e}\#X\}; \{b, c, d\}; Id$$

$$\implies \text{Sol-E } \{\langle d, Id \rangle \approx \langle c, Id \rangle\}; \{a\#X, \acute{e}\#X\}; \{b, c\}; (c d)$$

# Demonstration of $\mathfrak{E}$

Consider the term-pairs  $(a, a)$  and  $(a.(a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, b.X)$ .

Atoms =  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ , and  $\nabla = \{a\#X\}$ .

$$\{\langle a, Id \rangle \approx \langle a, Id \rangle, \langle a.(a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, Id \rangle \approx \langle b.X, Id \rangle\};$$
$$\{a\#X\}; \{a, b, c, d\}; Id$$

$$\implies \text{Alp-E } \{\langle a, Id \rangle \approx \langle a, Id \rangle, \langle (a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, (a\ \acute{e}) \rangle \approx \langle X, (b\ \acute{e}) \rangle\};$$
$$\{a\#X, \acute{e}\#X\}; \{a, b, c, d\}; Id$$

---

$$\implies \text{Rem-E } \{\langle (a\ b)(c\ d) \cdot X, (a\ \acute{e}) \rangle \approx \langle X, (b\ \acute{e}) \rangle\};$$
$$\{a\#X, \acute{e}\#X\}; \{b, c, d\}; Id$$

$$\implies \text{Sus-E } \{\langle c, Id \rangle \approx \langle d, Id \rangle, \langle d, Id \rangle \approx \langle c, Id \rangle\};$$
$$\{a\#X, \acute{e}\#X\}; \{b, c, d\}; Id$$

$$\implies \text{Sol-E } \{\langle d, Id \rangle \approx \langle c, Id \rangle\}; \{a\#X, \acute{e}\#X\}; \{b, c\}; (c\ d)$$

$$\implies \text{Rem-E } \emptyset; \{a\#X, \acute{e}\#X\}; \{b\}; (c\ d).$$

# Demonstration

`http://www.risc.jku.at/projects/stout/software/nequiv.php`

`http://www.risc.jku.at/projects/stout/software/nau.php`