Formal Methods in Software Development Exercise 10 (January 30)

Wolfgang Schreiner Wolfgang.Schreiner@risc.jku.at

January 11, 2012

The result is to be submitted by the deadline stated above via the Moodle interface of the course as a $.zip \ or \ .tgz$ file which contains

- a PDF file with
 - a cover page with the title of the course, your name, Matrikelnummer, and email-address,
 - for each exercise, a section with the number and name of the exercise and the content of the exercise,
- the file with the Promela model used in the exercise.

Exercise 10: Model Checking in Spin

Consider a system with $N + 1 \ge 1$ processes numbered $0, \ldots, N$ linked by a ring of buffered channels such that every process can send a message to its successor in the ring (respectively every process can receive a message from its predecessor). Each of the processes $1, \ldots, N$ maintains a bit, process 0 applies some protocol to determine whether all the bits have value 1 or not.

In more detail, the system proceeds in an infinite number of rounds as follows:

- Process 0 starts a round by sending a message with value 1 to process 1.
- Every process 1,..., N receives a message from its predecessor and generates a random value for its own bit. If the bit is 0, it sends a message with value 0 to its successor, otherwise it forwards the received value.
- When process 0 receives a message from process N, the round is completed.

Your task is as follows:

- 1. Develop a Promela model for the system with a global bit array of length N to hold the bits of the N processes. Deliver the source of the model.
- 2. Validate the model by simulation; the model must not run into a deadlock. Deliver a screenshot of (the final part) of some simulation (suspended after some hundreds of steps).
- 3. Formulate in PLTL the properties formulated below. Deliver the formulas and indicate whether the formulated property is a safety property, a liveness property, or a combination of both (justify your opinion based on the definitions of "safety" and "liveness").
- 4. Model check each formula for N = 2 (using appropriate fairness constraints, if necessary, and optimization options, if necessary). Deliver the options you have chosen, your reason for choosing the options, the output of Spin for the verification, and your interpretation:
 - Does the property hold or not?
 - Does this indicate an error in your model/specification or not (i.e. is the answer to be expected or not)? Why?

If the property does not hold, give a screenshot of a (final part) of the counterexample run and give an interpretation of this run.

The formulas to be formulated and model-checked are the following:

- 1. Always, if process 0 receives a message, the message holds value 1, if and only if all the processes $1, \ldots, N$ currently hold bit 1.
- 2. Process 0 always receives value 0.

- 3. Process 0 eventually receives value 1.
- 4. Process 0 infinitely often receives a message.
- 5. Always, after sending a message, process 0 does not send another message until it receives a message (which will be eventually the case).