


Specifying and Verifying Programs (Part 2)

Wolfgang Schreiner
Wolfgang.Schreiner@risc.jku.at

Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC)
Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria
<http://www.risc.jku.at>






1. Programs as State Relations

2. The RISC ProgramExplorer

Specification by State Predicates



- Hoare calculus and predicate transformers use **state predicates**.
 - Formulas that talk about a single (pre/post-)state.
 - In such a formula, a reference x means “the value of program variable x in the given state”.
- **Relationship** between pre/post-state is not directly expressible.

- Requires uninterpreted mathematical constants.

$$\{x = a\}x := x + 1\{x = a + 1\}$$

- **Unchanged variables** have to be explicitly specified.

$$\{x = a \wedge y = b\}x := x + 1\{x = a + 1 \wedge y = b\}$$

- The **semantics** of a command c is only **implicitly** specified.

- Specifications depend on auxiliary state conditions P, Q .

$$\{P\}c\{Q\}$$

$$wp(c, Q) = P$$

Let us turn our focus from individual states to pairs of states.



Specification by State Relations

- We introduce formulas that denote **state relations**.
 - Talk about a pair of states (the pre-state and the post-state).
 - old x : “the value of program variable x in the pre-state”.
 - var x : “the value of program variable x in the post-state”.
- We introduce the logical judgment $c : [F]^{x, \dots}$
 - If the execution of c terminates normally, the resulting post-state is related to the pre-state as described by F .
 - Every variable y not listed in the set of variables x, \dots has the same value in the pre-state and in the post-state.


$$c : F \wedge \text{var } y = \text{old } y \wedge \dots$$

$$x := x + 1 : [\text{var } x = \text{old } x + 1]^x$$

$$x := x + 1 : \text{var } x = \text{old } x + 1 \wedge \text{var } y = \text{old } y \wedge \text{var } z = \text{old } z \wedge \dots$$

We will discuss the termination of commands later.

State Relation Rules



$$\frac{c : [F]^{xs} \quad y \notin xs}{c : [F \wedge \text{var } y = \text{old } y]^{xs \cup \{y\}}}$$

$$\text{skip} : [\text{true}]^\emptyset \quad \text{abort} : [\text{true}]^\emptyset \quad x = e : [\text{var } x = e']^x$$

$$\frac{c_1 : [F_1]^{xs} \quad c_2 : [F_2]^{xs}}{c_1; c_2 : [\exists ys : F_1[ys/\text{var } xs] \wedge F_2[ys/\text{old } xs]]^{xs}}$$

$$\frac{c : [F]^{xs}}{\text{if } e \text{ then } c : [\text{if } e' \text{ then } F \text{ else var } xs = \text{old } xs]^{xs}}$$

$$\frac{c_1 : [F_1]^{xs} \quad c_2 : [F_2]^{xs}}{\text{if } e \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 : [\text{if } e' \text{ then } F_1 \text{ else } F_2]^{xs}}$$

$$\frac{c : [F]^{xs} \quad \vdash \forall xs, ys, zs : I[xs/\text{old } xs, ys/\text{var } xs] \wedge e[ys/xs] \wedge F[ys/\text{old } xs, zs/\text{var } xs] \Rightarrow I[xs/\text{old } xs, zs/\text{var } xs]}{\text{while } e \text{ do } \{l, t\} c : [\neg e'' \wedge (I[\text{old } xs/\text{var } xs] \Rightarrow I)]^{xs}}$$

$$\text{if } e \text{ then } F_1 \text{ else } F_2 := (e \Rightarrow F_1) \wedge (\neg e \Rightarrow F_2)$$

$$e' := e[\text{old } xs/xs], e'' := e[\text{var } xs/xs] \text{ (for all program variables } xs)$$



Example

$c_1 = y := y + 1;$

$c_2 = x := x + y$

$c_1 : [\text{var } y = \text{old } y + 1]^y$

$c_2 : [\text{var } x = \text{old } x + \text{old } y]^x$

$c_1 : [\text{var } y = \text{old } y + 1 \wedge \text{var } x = \text{old } x]^x, y$

$c_2 : [\text{var } x = \text{old } x + \text{old } y \wedge \text{var } y = \text{old } y]^x, y$

$c_1; c_2 : [\exists x_0, y_0 :$


$y_0 = \text{old } y + 1 \wedge x_0 = \text{old } x \wedge$

$\text{var } x = x_0 + y_0 \wedge \text{var } y = y_0]^x, y$

$c_1; c_2 : [\text{var } x = \text{old } x + \text{old } y + 1 \wedge \text{var } y = \text{old } y + 1]^x, y$

Mechanical translation and logical simplification.


Loops



$$c : [F]^{xs}$$

$$\vdash \forall xs, ys, zs : I[xs/\text{old } xs, ys/\text{var } xs] \wedge e[ys/xs] \wedge F[ys/\text{old } xs, zs/\text{var } xs] \Rightarrow I[xs/\text{old } xs, zs/\text{var } xs]$$

$$\text{while } e \text{ do } \{I, t\} \quad c : [\neg e'' \wedge (I[\text{old } xs/\text{var } xs] \Rightarrow I)]^{xs}$$



$$w = \text{while } i < n \text{ do } \{I, t\} \quad (s := s + i; i = i + 1)$$

$$I \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq \text{var } i \leq \text{old } n \wedge \text{var } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{var } i-1} j$$

$$\vdash \forall s_x, s_y, s_z, i_x, i_y, i_z :$$

$$(0 \leq i_y \leq \text{old } n \wedge s_y = \sum_{j=0}^{i_y-1} j) \wedge i_y < \text{old } n \wedge (s_z = s_y + i_y \wedge i_z = i_y + 1) \Rightarrow$$

$$0 \leq i_z \leq \text{old } n \wedge s_z = \sum_{j=0}^{i_z-1} j$$

$$w : [\neg(\text{var } i < \text{var } n) \wedge (0 \leq \text{old } i \leq \text{old } n \wedge \text{old } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{old } i-1} j \Rightarrow I)]^{s,i}$$

The loop relation is derived from the invariant (not the loop body); we have to prove the preservation of the loop invariant.



Example

```
c =  
  if n < 0  
    s := -1  
  else  
    s := 0  
    i := 0  
    while i < n do {l,t}  
      s := s + i  
      i := i + 1
```

$$l \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq \text{var } i \leq \text{old } n \wedge \text{var } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{var } i-1} j$$
$$t = \text{old } n - \text{old } i$$

c : [if old $n < 0$
then var $i = \text{old } i \wedge \text{var } s = -1$
else var $i = \text{old } n \wedge \text{var } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{old } n-1} j$]]^{s,i}

Let us calculate this “semantic essence” of the program.

Example



$c = \text{if } n < 0 \text{ then } s := -1 \text{ else } b$
 $b = (s := 0; i := 0; w)$
 $w = \text{while } i < n \text{ do } \{l, t\} (s := s + i; i = i + 1)$

$s := 0 : [\text{var } s = 0]^s$
 $s := 0 : [\text{var } s = 0 \wedge \text{var } i = \text{old } i]^{s,i}$

$i := 0 : [\text{var } i = 0]^i$
 $i := 0 : [\text{var } i = 0 \wedge \text{var } s = \text{old } s]^{s,i}$

$s := 0; i := 0 : [\exists s_0, i_0 : s_0 = 0 \wedge i_0 = \text{old } i \wedge \text{var } i = 0 \wedge \text{var } s = s_0]^{s,i}$
 $s := 0; i := 0 : [\text{var } s = 0 \wedge \text{var } i = 0]^{s,i}$

$w : [\neg(\text{var } i < \text{var } n) \wedge (0 \leq \text{old } i \leq \text{old } n \wedge \text{old } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{old } i-1} j \Rightarrow l)]^{s,i}$
 $w : [\text{var } i \geq \text{old } n \wedge (0 \leq \text{old } i \leq \text{old } n \wedge \text{old } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{old } i-1} j \Rightarrow l)]^{s,i}$



Example

$c = \text{if } n < 0 \text{ then } s := -1 \text{ else } b$

$b = (s := 0; i := 0; w)$

$w = \text{while } i < n \text{ do } \{l, t\} (s := s + i; i = i + 1)$

$s := 0; i := 0 : [\text{var } s = 0 \wedge \text{var } i = 0]^{s,i}$

$w : [\text{var } i \geq \text{old } n \wedge (0 \leq \text{old } i \leq \text{old } n \wedge \text{old } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{old } i-1} j \Rightarrow l)]^{s,i}$

$b : [\exists s_0, i_0 : s_0 = 0 \wedge i_0 = 0 \wedge$

$\text{var } i \geq \text{old } n \wedge (0 \leq i_0 \leq \text{old } n \wedge s_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{i_0-1} j \Rightarrow l)]^{s,i}$

$b : [\exists s_0, i_0 : s_0 = 0 \wedge i_0 = 0 \wedge$

$\text{var } i \geq \text{old } n \wedge (0 \leq \text{old } n \Rightarrow l)]^{s,i}$

$b : [\text{var } i \geq \text{old } n \wedge$

$(0 \leq \text{old } n \Rightarrow 0 \leq \text{var } i \leq \text{old } n \wedge \text{var } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{var } i-1} j)]^{s,i}$

$b : [\text{var } i \geq \text{old } n \wedge$

$(0 \leq \text{old } n \Rightarrow \text{var } i = \text{old } n \wedge \text{var } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{old } n-1} j)]^{s,i}$

Example



$c = \text{if } n < 0 \text{ then } s := -1 \text{ else } b$
 $b = (s := 0; i := 0; w)$
 $w = \text{while } i < n \text{ do } \{l, t\} (s := s + i; i = i + 1)$

$s := -1 : [\text{var } s = -1]^s$
 $s := -1 : [\text{var } i = \text{old } i \wedge \text{var } s = -1]^{s,i}$

$b : [\text{var } i \geq \text{old } n \wedge$
 $(0 \leq \text{old } n \Rightarrow \text{var } i = \text{old } n \wedge \text{var } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{old } n-1} j)]^{s,i}$

$c : [\text{if old } n < 0$
then $\text{var } i = \text{old } i \wedge \text{var } s = -1$
else $\text{var } i \geq \text{old } n \wedge$
 $(0 \leq \text{old } n \Rightarrow \text{var } i = \text{old } n \wedge \text{var } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{old } n-1} j)]^{s,i}$

$c : [\text{if old } n < 0$
then $\text{var } i = \text{old } i \wedge \text{var } s = -1$
else $\text{var } i = \text{old } n \wedge \text{var } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{old } n-1} j)]^{s,i}$

Partial Correctness



- Specification (xs, P, Q)
 - Set of program variables xs (which may be modified).
 - Precondition P (a formula with “old xs ” but no “var xs ”).
 - Postcondition Q (a formula with both “old xs ” and “var xs ”).
- Partial correctness of implementation c
 1. Derive $c : [F]^{xs}$.
 2. Prove $F \Rightarrow (P \Rightarrow Q)$
 - Or: $P \Rightarrow (F \Rightarrow Q)$
 - Or: $(P \wedge F) \Rightarrow Q$

Verification of partial correctness leads to the proof of an implication.



Relationship to Other Calculi

Let all state conditions refer via “old xs” to program variables xs.

■ Hoare Calculus

- For proving $\{P\}c\{Q\}$,
- it suffices to derive $c : [F]^{xs}$
- and prove $P \wedge F \Rightarrow Q[\text{var } xs/\text{old } xs]$.

■ Predicate Transformers

- Assume we can derive $c : [F]^{xs}$.
- If c does not contain loops, then
$$\text{wp}(c, Q) = \forall xs : F[xs/\text{var } xs] \Rightarrow Q[xs/\text{old } xs]$$
$$\text{sp}(c, P) = \exists xs : P[xs/\text{old } xs] \wedge F[xs/\text{old } xs, \text{old } xs/\text{var } xs]$$
- If c contains loops, the result is still a valid pre/post-condition but not necessarily the weakest/strongest one.

A generalization of the previously presented calculi.

Termination



- We introduce a judgment $c \downarrow T$.
State condition T (a formula with “old x s” but no “var x s”).
 - Starting with a pre-state that satisfies condition T the execution of command c terminates.
- **Total correctness** of implementation c .
Specification (xs, P, Q) .
 - Derive $c \downarrow T$.
 - Prove $P \Rightarrow T$.

Also verification of termination leads to the proof of an implication.

Termination Condition Rules



skip \downarrow true

abort \downarrow true

$x := e$ \downarrow true

$$\frac{c_1 \downarrow T_1 \quad c_2 \downarrow T_2}{c_1; c_2 \downarrow T_1 \wedge \text{wp}(c_1, T_2)}$$

$$\frac{c \downarrow T}{\text{if } e \text{ then } c \downarrow e' \Rightarrow T}$$

$$\frac{c_1 \downarrow T_1 \quad c_2 \downarrow T_2}{\text{if } e \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \downarrow \text{if } e' \text{ then } T_1 \text{ else } T_2}$$

$$\frac{c : [F]^{xs} \quad c \downarrow T \quad \vdash \forall xs, ys, zs : I[xs/\text{old } xs, ys/\text{var } xs] \wedge e[ys/xs] \wedge F[ys/\text{old } xs, zs/\text{var } xs] \Rightarrow T[ys/\text{old } xs] \wedge 0 \leq t[zs/\text{old } xs] < t[ys/\text{old } xs]}{\text{while } e \text{ do } \{I, t\} \quad c \downarrow t \geq 0}$$

In every iteration of a loop, the loop body must terminate and the termination term must decrease (but not become negative).



Example

```
c =  
  if n < 0  
    s := -1  
  else  
    s := 0  
    i := 0  
    while i < n do {l,t}  
      s := s + i  
      i := i + 1
```

$$I \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq \text{var } i \leq \text{old } n \wedge \text{var } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{var } i-1} j]$$
$$t = \text{old } n - \text{old } i$$

$c \downarrow$ if old $n < 0$ then true else ...
 $c \downarrow$ if old $n < 0$ then true else old $n \geq 0$
 $c \downarrow$ true

We still have to prove the constraint on the loop iteration.



Example

$s := s + i; i := i + 1 \downarrow \text{true}$

$\forall s_x, s_y, s_z, i_x, i_y, i_z :$

$$(0 \leq i_y \leq \text{old } n \wedge s_y = \sum_{j=0}^{i_y-1} j) \wedge$$

$$i_y < \text{old } n \wedge$$

$$(s_z = s_y + i_y \wedge i_z = i_y + 1) \Rightarrow$$

$$\text{true} \wedge$$

$$0 \leq \text{old } n - i_z < \text{old } n - i_y$$

Also this constraint is simple to prove.

Abortion



Also abortion can be ruled out by proving side conditions in the usual way.

Wolfgang Schreiner. *Computer-Assisted Program Reasoning Based on a Relational Semantics of Programs*. Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC), Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria, 2011.


See the report for the full calculus.



1. Programs as State Relations

2. The RISC ProgramExplorer


The RISC ProgramExplorer



- **An integrated environment for program reasoning.**
 - Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC), 2008–.
<http://www.risc.jku.at/research/formal/software/ProgramExplorer>
 - Integrates the RISC ProofNavigator for computer-assisted proving.
 - Written in Java, runs under Linux (only), freely available (GPL).
- **Programs written in “MiniJava”.**
 - Subset of Java with full support of control flow interruptions.
 - Value (not pointer) semantics for arrays and objects.
- **Theories and specifications written in a formula language.**
 - Derived from the language of the RISC ProofNavigator.
- **Semantic analysis and verification.**
 - Program methods are translated into their “semantic essence”.
 - Open for human inspection.
 - From the semantics, the verification tasks are generated.
 - Solved by automatic decision procedure or interactive proof.

Tight integration of executable programs, declarative specifications, mathematical semantics, and verification tasks.

Using the Software



See “The RISC ProgramExplorer: Tutorial and Manual” .

- **Develop a theory.**

- File “*Theory.theory*” with a theory *Theory* of mathematical types, constants, functions, predicates, axioms, and theorems.
- Can be also added to a program file.

- **Develop a program.**

- File “*Class.java*” with a class *Class* that contains class (static) and object (non-static) variables, methods and constructors.
- Class may be annotated by a theory (and an object invariant).
- Methods may be annotated by method specifications.
- Loops may be annotated by invariants and termination terms.


- **Analyze method semantics.**

- Transition relations, termination conditions, . . . of the method body and its individual commands.

- **Perform verification tasks.**

- Frame, postcondition, termination, preconditions, loop-related tasks, type-checking conditions.

Starting the Software



■ Starting the software:

```
module load ProgramExplorer (only at RISC)
ProgramExplorer &
```

■ Command line options:

```
Usage: ProgramExplorer [OPTION]...
```

```
OPTION: one of the following options:
```

```
-h, --help: print this message.
```

```
-cp, --classpath [PATH]:
```

```
directories representing top package.
```

```
Environment Variables:
```

```
PE_CLASSPATH:
```

```
the directories (separated by ":") representing the
top package (default the current working directory)
```


```
...
```

■ Task repository created/read in current working directory:

```
Subdirectory .PETASKS.timestamp (ProgramExplorer tasks)
```

```
Subdirectory .ProofNavigator (ProofNavigator legacy)
```

The Graphical User Interface



The screenshot displays the RISC Program Explorer interface. The central pane shows the source code for the `Searching` class, including a `search(int[] a, int x)` method. The left pane shows a project tree with the `search(int[] a, int x)` method selected. The right pane shows a semantic analysis tree for the `Searching` class, detailing various elements like preconditions, loops, and type checking conditions. The bottom pane shows the console output, which includes the version information (0.9, September 15, 2011) and a confirmation that the class `Searching` was processed with no errors.

```
14- public static int search(int[] a, int x) /*Q
15-   requires NOT (VAR a).null;
16-   ensures
17-     LET result = VALUE@NEXT, n = (VAR a).length IN
18-     IF result = -1 THEN
19-       FORALL(i: INT): 0 <= i AND i < n => (VAR a).value[i] /= VAR x
20-     ELSE
21-       0 <= result AND result < n AND
22-       (FORALL(i: INT): 0 <= i AND i < result =>
23-         (VAR a).value[i] /= VAR x) AND
24-       (VAR a).value[result] = VAR x
25-     ENDEF;
26-   @*/
27-   {
28-     int n = a.length;
29-     int r = -1;
30-     int i = 0;
41-     if (a[i] == x)
42-       r = i;
43-     else
44-       i = i+1;
45-   }
46-   return r;
47- }
```

RISC ProgramExplorer Version 0.9 (September 15, 2011)
http://www.risc.jku.at/research/formal/software/ProgramExplorer
(C) 2008-, Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC)
This is free software distributed under the terms of the GNU GPL.
Execute "ProgramExplorer -h" to see the options available.

processing theory Base...
processing class java.lang.String...
initialization completed.
executing tasks...done.
processing class Searching...
class Searching was processed with no errors.
executing tasks...done.

A Program



```
/*@..  
class Sum  
{  
    static int sum(int n) /*@..  
    {  
        int s;  
        if (n < 0)  
            s = -1;  
        else  
        {  
            s = 0;  
            int i = 1;  
            while (i <= n) /*@..  
            {  
                s = s+i;  
                i = i+1;  
            }  
        }  
        return s;  
    }  
}
```

Markers /*@.. indicate
hidden mathematical annotations.



A Theory

```
/*@  
  theory {  
    sum: (INT, INT) -> INT;  
    sumaxiom: AXIOM  
      FORALL(m: INT, n: INT):  
        IF n<m THEN  
          sum(m, n) = 0  
        ELSE  
          sum(m, n) = n+sum(m, n-1)  
        ENDIF;  
  }  
  @*/  
  class Sum  
  ...
```

The introduction of a function $sum(m, n) = \sum_{j=m}^n j$.



A Method Specification

```
static int sum(int n) /*@
  requires VAR n < Base.MAX_INT;
  ensures
    LET result=VALUE@NEXT IN
    IF VAR n < 0
      THEN result = -1
      ELSE result = sum(1, VAR n)
    ENDIF;
  @*/
  ...
```

For non-negative n , a call of program method $\text{sum}(n)$ returns $\text{sum}(1, n)$ (and does not modify any global variable).




A Loop Annotation

```
while (i <= n) /*@
  invariant VAR n < Base.MAX_INT
             AND 1 <= VAR i AND VAR i <= VAR n+1
             AND VAR s=sum(1, VAR i-1);
  decreases VAR n - VAR i + 1;
/*@/
{
  s = s+i;
  i = i+1;
}
}
```

The loop invariant and termination term (measure).

The Specification Language



Derived from the language of the RISC ProofNavigator.

■ State conditions/relations, state terms.

- State condition: method precondition (requires).
- State relation: method postcondition (ensures), loop invariant (invariant).
- State term: termination term (decreases).

■ References to program variables.

OLD x : the value of program variable x in the pre-state.

VAR x : the value of program variable x in the post-state.

- In state conditions/terms, both refer to the value in the current state.
- If program variable is of the program type T , then then OLD/VAR x is of the mathematical type T' .


`int` \rightarrow `Base.int` = [`Base.MIN_INT`, `Base.MAX_INT`].

■ Function results

VALUE@NEXT: the return value of a program function.

- The value of the function call's post-state NEXT.

The Semantics View



Sum.sum

```
requires old n < Base.MAX_INT
ensures let result = value@next
in
  (if var n < 0 then result = -1 else result = sum(1, var n) endif)
```

```
public static int sum(int n) /*@
  requires OLD n < Base.MAX_INT;
  ensures LET result = VALUE@NEXT IN (IF VAR n < 0
  @*/
{
  int s;
  if (n < 0)
    s = -1;
  else
  {
    s = 0;
    int i = 1;
    while (i <= n) /*@
      invariant VAR n < Base.MAX_INT AND 1 <= VAR
      decreases OLD n-OLD i+1;
      @*/
    {
      s = s+1;
      i = i+1;
    }
  }
  return s;
}
```

Select a statement and define a condition for its pre/poststate:

Prestate Poststate

Body Knowledge

[Show Original Formulas]

Pre-State Knowledge

```
old n < Base.MAX_INT
```

Effects

```
executes: false, continues: false, breaks: false, returns: true
variables: -; exceptions: -
```

Transition Relation

```
if old n < 0 then
  returns@next ^ value@next = -1
else
  returns@next
^
^
^ (line@Base.int.in = old n+1 ^ 1 <= in ^ value@next = sum(1, in-1))
^
^ old n < Base.MAX_INT
endif
```

The Method Body



Body Knowledge

[[Show Original Formulas](#)]

Pre-State Knowledge

old $n < \text{Base.MAX}_{\text{INT}}$

Effects


executes: false, **continues:** false, **breaks:** false, **returns:** true
variables: -; **exceptions:** -

Transition Relation

```
if old  $n < 0$  then
  returns@next  $\wedge$  value@next = -1
else
  returns@next
 $\wedge$ 
  ( $\exists in \in \text{Base.int}: in = \text{old } n + 1 \wedge 1 \leq in \wedge \text{value@next} = \text{sum}(1, in - 1)$ )
 $\wedge$ 
  old  $n < \text{Base.MAX}_{\text{INT}}$ 
endif
```

Select method symbol “sum” and menu entry “Show Semantics”.

A Body Command



Statement Knowledge

[Show Original Formulas]

Pre-State Knowledge

$\text{old } n < \text{Base.MAX}_{\text{INT}} \wedge \text{old } n \geq 0 \wedge \text{old } s = 0 \wedge \text{old } i = 1$

Precondition

$\text{old } n < \text{Base.MAX}_{\text{INT}} \wedge 1 \leq \text{old } i \wedge \text{old } i \leq \text{old } n + 1 \wedge \text{old } s = \text{sum}(1, \text{old } i - 1)$

Effects

executes: true, **continues:** false, **breaks:** false, **returns:** false

variables: s, i ; **exceptions:** -

Transition Relation


$\text{var } i = \text{old } n + 1 \wedge \text{old } n < \text{Base.MAX}_{\text{INT}} \wedge 1 \leq \text{var } i \wedge \text{var } s = \text{sum}(1, \text{var } i - 1)$

Termination Condition

$\text{executes@now} \Rightarrow \text{old } n - \text{old } i \geq -1$

Move the mouse pointer
over the box to the
left of the loop.

The Semantics Elements



- **Pre-State Knowledge**

What is known about the pre-state of the command.

- **Precondition**

What has to be true for the pre-state of the command such that the command may be executed.

- **Effects**

Which kind of effects may the command have.

- **variables**: which variables may be changed.

- **exceptions**: which exceptions may be thrown.

- **executes, continues, breaks, returns**: may the execution terminate normally, may it be terminated by a `continue`, `break`, `return`.

- **Transition Relation**


The prestate/poststate relationship of the command.

- **Termination**

What has to be true for the pre-state of the command such that the command terminates.

Formulas are shown after simplification (see "Show Original Formulas").

Constraining a State



State Conditions

[Show Original Formulas]

Pre-State Condition


$\text{var } i = 1 \wedge \text{var } s = \text{var } i + 2$

Post-State Condition

$\text{var } s = 3 \wedge \text{var } i = 2$


Select the loop body, enter in the box the condition $\text{VAR } s=2 \text{ AND } \text{VAR } i=1$, press "Submit", and move the mouse to $i=i+1$.

The Verification Tasks



- class Sum
 - method sum
 - [Sum.sum] effects
 - [Sum.sum] postcondition
 - [Sum.sum] termination
 - preconditions
 - [Sum.sum:0] assignment precondition
 - [Sum.sum:1] while loop precondition
 - [Sum.sum:2] assignment precondition
 - [Sum.sum:3] assignment precondition
 - loops
 - [Sum.sum:qvb] invariant is preserved
 - [Sum.sum:qvb] measure is well-formed
 - [Sum.sum:qvb] measure is decreased
 - type checking conditions
 - specification validation (optional)
 - [Sum.sum] specification is satisfiable
 - [Sum.sum] specification is not trivial


The Verification Tasks



- **Effects:** does the method only change those global variables indicated in the method's assignable clause?
- **Postcondition:** do the method's precondition and the body's state relation imply the method's postcondition?
- **Termination:** does the method's precondition imply the body's termination condition?
- **Precondition:** does a statement's prestate knowledge imply the statement's precondition?
- **Loops:** is the loop invariant preserved, the measure well-formed (does not become negative) and decreased?
- **Type checking conditions:** are all formulas well-typed?
- **Specification validation:** does for every input that satisfies a precondition exist a result that does (not) satisfy the postcondition?

Partially solved by automatic decision procedure, partially by an interactive computer-supported proof.

The Task States




The task status is indicated by color (icon).

- **Blue (sun)**: the task was solved in the current execution of the RISC ProgramExplorer (automatically or by an interactive proof).
- **Violet (partially clouded)**: the task was solved in a previous execution by an interactive proof.
 - Nothing has changed, so we need not perform the proof again.
 - However, we may replay the proof to investigate it.
- **Red (partially clouded)**: there exists a proof but it is either not complete or cannot be trusted any more (something has changed).
- **Red (fully clouded)**: there does not yet exist a proof.

Select “Execute Task” to start/replay a proof, “Show Proof” to display a proof, “Reset Task” to delete a proof.

A Postcondition Proof



The screenshot displays a theorem prover interface with the following components:

- Proof Tree:** A tree structure on the left showing the proof steps: [upf]: proved (CVCL), [vpf]: split jm4, [rtb]: proved (CVCL), [stb]: scatter, and [mlv]: proved (CVCL).
- Proof State:** A window on the right showing the current goal and proof state. The goal is $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}: \text{if } n < m \text{ then } \text{sum}(n, n) = 0 \text{ else } \text{sum}(n, n) = n + \text{sum}(n, n-1) \text{ endif}$. The proof state includes a hypothesis $n_{old} < \text{MAX_INT}$ and a goal $n_{old} < \text{MAX_INT}$.
- Children:** A list of children for the current proof state, including [upf] and [vpf].
- View Declarations:** A section for viewing declarations, showing the input/output and the current goal.
- Input/Output:** A section for input/output, showing the current goal and the proof state.

The proof state window contains the following text:

```
82h  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}: \text{if } n < m \text{ then } \text{sum}(n, n) = 0 \text{ else } \text{sum}(n, n) = n + \text{sum}(n, n-1) \text{ endif}$ 
hr
 $n_{old} < \text{MAX\_INT}$ 
 $\wedge$ 
if  $n_{old} < 0$  then
  returns_(now_)  $\wedge$  value_(now_)+1 = 0
else
  returns_(now_)
 $\wedge$ 
 $(\exists i \in \text{int}: i = n_{old} + 1 \wedge 1 \leq i \wedge \text{value}_-(\text{now}_-) = \text{sum}(i, i-1))$ 
 $\wedge$ 
 $n_{old} < \text{MAX\_INT}$ 
endif
 $\Rightarrow$ 
if  $n_{old} < 0$  then value_(now_)+1 = 0 else value_(now_) = sum(i, n_{old}) endif
```

Children: [upf] [vpf]

View Declarations

Input/Output

Value newArray: [0..MAX_INT] -> [#value:ARRAY [MIN_INT..MAX_INT] OF [#null:BOOLEAN, new:INT#], length:[0..MAX_INT], null:BOOLEAN#].

Value x:[0..MAX_INT].

Value y:[#value:ARRAY [MIN_INT..MAX_INT], null:BOOLEAN#], length:[0..MAX_INT].

Value i:[0..MAX_INT].

Formula goal_already has a (skeleton) proof (proof status: trusted, closed, absolute)

Proof state [upf] is closed by decision procedure.


Proof state [rtb] is closed by decision procedure.

Proof state [mlv] is closed by decision procedure.

Proof replay successful.


Use 'proof goal_' to see proof.

Linear Search



```
/*@..  
public class Searching  
{  
    public static int search(int[] a, int x) /*@..  
    {  
        int n = a.length;  
        int r = -1;  
        int i = 0;  
        while (i < n && r == -1) /*@..  
        {  
            if (a[i] == x)  
                r = i;  
            else  
                i = i+1;  
        }  
        return r;  
    }  
}
```

The Representation of Arrays



The program type `int []` is mapped to the mathematical type `Base.IntArray`.

```
theory Base
{
  ...
  IntArray: TYPE =
    [#value: ARRAY int OF int, length: nat, null: BOOLEAN#];
  ...
}
```

- `(VAR a).length`: the number of elements in array `a`.
- `(VAR a).value[i]`: the element with index `i` in array `a`.
- `(VAR a).null`: `a` is the null pointer.


Program type `Class` is mapped to mathematical type `Class.Class`;
`Class []` is mapped to `Class.Array`.



```
/*@
theory uses Base {
  int: TYPE = Base.int;
  intArray: TYPE = Base.IntArray;
  smallestPosition: FORMULA
  FORALL(a: intArray, n: NAT, x: int):
    (EXISTS(i:int): 0 <= i AND i < n AND a.value[i] = x) =>
    (EXISTS(i:int): 0 <= i AND i < n AND a.value[i] = x AND
      (FORALL(j:int): 0 <= j AND j < n AND a.value[j] = x =>
        j >= i));
}
@*/
public class Searching
...

```


Method Specification




```
public static int search(int[] a, int x) /*@
  requires NOT (VAR a).null;
  ensures
    LET result = VALUE@NEXT, n = (VAR a).length IN
    IF result = -1 THEN
      FORALL(i: INT): 0 <= i AND i < n =>
        (VAR a).value[i] /= VAR x
    ELSE
      0 <= result AND result < n AND
      (FORALL(i: INT): 0 <= i AND i < result =>
        (VAR a).value[i] /= VAR x) AND
      (VAR a).value[result] = VAR x
    ENDIF;
  @*/
  ...
```

Loop Annotation



```
while (i < n && r == -1) /*@
  invariant NOT (VAR a).null AND VAR n = (VAR a).length
    AND 0 <= VAR i AND VAR i <= VAR n
    AND (FORALL(i: INT): 0 <= i AND i < VAR i =>
      (VAR a).value[i] /= VAR x)
    AND (VAR r = -1 OR (VAR r = VAR i AND VAR i < VAR n AND
      (VAR a).value[VAR r] = VAR x));
  decreases IF VAR r = -1 THEN VAR n - VAR i ELSE 0 ENDIF;
/*@/
{
  if (a[i] == x)
    r = i;
  else
    i = i+1;
}
```

Method Semantics



Transition Relation

$(\exists \text{in} \in \text{Base.int}, n \in \text{Base.int}:$

$n = \text{old } a.\text{length} \wedge (\text{in} \geq n \vee \text{value@next} \neq -1) \wedge 0 \leq \text{in} \wedge \text{in} \leq n$

\wedge

$(\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}: 0 \leq i \wedge i < \text{in} \Rightarrow \text{old } a.\text{value}[i] \neq \text{old } x)$

\wedge

$(\text{value@next} = -1$

\vee

$\text{value@next} = \text{in} \wedge \text{in} < n \wedge \text{old } a.\text{value}[\text{value@next}] = \text{old } x) \wedge \neg \text{old } a.\text{null}$


\wedge




















returns@next

Termination Condition


$\text{executes@now} \Rightarrow \text{old } a.\text{length} \geq 0$

Verification Tasks



- ▼  method search
 -  [Searching.search] effects
 -  [Searching.search] postcondition
 -  [Searching.search] termination
- ▼  preconditions
 -  [Searching.search:0] declaration precondition
 -  [Searching.search:1] declaration precondition
 -  [Searching.search:2] while loop precondition
 -  [Searching.search:3] conditional precondition
 -  [Searching.search:4] assignment precondition
- ▼  loops
 -  [Searching.search:rb] invariant is preserved
 -  [Searching.search:rb] measure is well-formed
 -  [Searching.search:rb] measure is decreased
- ▼  type checking conditions
 -  [Searching.(local);p3x] value is in interval
 -  [Searching.(local):smu] value is in interval
 -  [Searching.(local):unx] value is in interval
- ▶  specification validation (optional)

Invariant Proof




The screenshot displays the CVCL proof assistant interface. On the left, a 'Proof Tree' shows a sequence of steps: [koy]: decompose, [upf]: split ofx, [1jz]: scatter, [elz]: auto (with [kaw]: proved (CVCL)), [2jz]: scatter, [2xa]: proved (CVCL), [3xa]: proved (CVCL), [4xa]: auto, and [j43]: proved (CVCL). The main window shows a goal with the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge \\ & r_{\text{new}} = r \wedge i_0 < n_{\text{old}} \\ & \wedge \\ & i_{\text{new}} = i_0 + 1 \\ & \text{end(f)} \\ \Rightarrow & \neg \text{old_full} \wedge n_{\text{old}} = \text{old_length} \wedge 0 \leq i_{\text{new}} \\ & \wedge \\ & i_{\text{new}} \leq n_{\text{old}} \\ & \wedge \\ & (0 \leq i_0 \wedge i_0 < i_{\text{new}} \Rightarrow \text{old_value}[i_0] \neq x_{\text{old}}) \\ & \wedge \\ & (r_{\text{new}} = -1 \\ & \vee \\ & r_{\text{new}} = i_{\text{new}} \wedge i_{\text{new}} < n_{\text{old}} \wedge \text{old_value}[i_{\text{new}}] = x_{\text{old}}) \end{aligned}$$

Below the goal, the 'View Declarations' section shows the following text:

```
input/output
[#:null:BOOLEAN, new:INT#, length:[0..MAX_INT], null:BOOLEAN#].
Value x:[0..MAX_INT].
Value y:[#value:ARRAY [MIN INT..MAX_INT] OF [#null:BOOLEAN, new:INT#],
length:[0..MAX_INT], null:BOOLEAN#].
Value i:[0..MAX_INT].
Formula goal_ already has a (skeleton) proof (proof status: trusted, closed,
absolute)
Proof state [kaw] is closed by decision procedure.
Proof state [2xa] is closed by decision procedure.
Proof state [3xa] is closed by decision procedure.
Proof state [j43] is closed by decision procedure.
Proof replay successful.
Use 'proof goal_' to see proof.
```

Working Strategy



- Develop theory.
 - Introduce interesting theorems that may be used in verifications.
- Develop specifications.
 - Validate specifications, e.g. by showing satisfiability and non-triviality.
- Develop program with annotations.
 - Validate programs/annotations by investigating program semantics.
- Prove postcondition and termination.
 - Partial and total correctness.
 - By proofs necessity of additional theorems may be detected.
- Prove precondition tasks and loop tasks.
 - By proofs necessity of additional theorems may be detected.
- Prove mathematical theorems.
 - Validation of auxiliary knowledge used in verifications.

The integrated development of theories, specifications, programs, annotations is crucial for the design of provably correct programs.