Formal Methods in Software Development Assignment 5 (December 15)

Wolfgang Schreiner Wolfgang.Schreiner@risc.jku.at

The result is to be submitted by the deadline stated above *via the Moodle interface* of the course as a .zip or .tgz file which contains

- 1. a PDF file with
 - a cover page with the course title, your name, Matrikelnummer, and email address,
 - a section for each part of the exercise with the requested deliverables and optionally any explanations or comments you would like to make;
- 2. the JML-annotated . java file(s) used in the exercise.

Email submissions are not accepted.

Assignment 5: JML Specifications

Formalize the method specifications given below in the JML *heavy-weight* format by a precondition (requires), frame condition (assignable), and postcondition (ensures) and attach the specification to the method implementations provided in file Exercise5.java. For this purpose, extract the implementation of each method into a separate class Exercise5_I (where I is the number of the method in the list below) and give this class a main function that allows you to test the implementation by a call of the corresponding method.

Make preconditions as *weak* as (reasonably) possible; e.g., if the method can be well applied to argument 0, do not require that the argument needs to be positive (however, still make them suffiently strong such that the procedure is able to produce a reasonable result as described in the informal specifications). Make postconditions as *strong* as possible; e.g., if a result is according to the specification necessarily positive, do not just ensure that the result is non-negative (however, this does not mean that the specification must uniquely define the result, a function may be intentionally underspecified). Also do not forget to explicitly specify the null/non-null status and the lengths of arrays. Please also note that JML has some restrictions about which values may be used in \old(...) expressions; e.g., \result may not be used there. You can, however, write the following instead:

```
(\exists int r; r == \text{result}; \dots \text{old}(\dots r...)
```

For each method, first use jml to type-check the specification¹. Then use the runtime assertion compiler jmlc and the corresponding executor jmlrac to validate the specification respectively implementation by at least three calls of each method; the calls shall contain at least two different valid inputs and (if possible) also one invalid input (for arrays, use arrays with wrong length or content, not just null pointers). Please print after each method call some output to make sure that the method has not silently crashed. If you detect that the runtime assertion compiler fails for some part of the specification, you may comment this part out as an informal property (* ...*) and repeat the check with the simplified specification.

Also try the more modern OpenJML tool set with the corresponding commands openjml, openjmlrac, and openjmlrun and report your experience with these².

Finally, use the extended static checker escjava2 to further validate the functions³; you may use the option -NoCautions to suppress any cautions you may get from system libraries. Before checking, comment out the main functions such that only the specified functions are checked.

The deliverables of this exercise consist of

• a nicely formatted copy of the JML-annotated Java code for each class,

¹The original JML toolsuite only work with Java 5; on the course VM, command aliases select this version.

²The modern OpenJML toolset works with Java 21; on the course VM, the command scripts select this version. You may also experiment with the corresponding commands openjml8, openjml8rac, and openjml8run that invoke an older (but potentially more stable) version of the toolset which uses Java 8.

³ESC/Java2 only works with Java 5; on the course VM the command script selects this version.

- the output of running jml -Q and openjml on the class,
- the outputs of running jmlrac and openjmlrun on the class,
- the outputs of running escjava2 on the class.

both for the original and for the modified implementation of the method (if the implementation was modified) including an explanation of the detected error and how you fixed it.

Recommendation: it is better to split pre/post-conditions that form conjunctions into multiple requires respectively ensure clauses (one for each formula of the conjunction); if an error is reported, it is then clear, to which formula it refers.

1. Specify the method

```
public static int minimumPosition(int[] a)
```

that takes an integer array a and returns a position of the smallest element in a.

2. Specify the method

```
public static int minimumElement1(int[] a)
```

that takes an integer array a and returns the smallest element in a.

3. Specify the method

```
public static int minimumElement2(int[] a)
```

that takes an array a of non-negative integers and returns the smallest element in a.

4. Specify the method

```
public static int[] overwrite(int[] a, int p, int n, int x)
```

that returns a new array that is identical to a except that n elements starting at index p have been overwritten by x (the resulting array may be longer than b to accommodate the indices $p, \ldots, p + n - 1$).

5. Specify the method

```
public static int replace(char[] a, char x, char y)
```

that takes a character array a and replaces in it every character x by y. The return value of the function indicates the smallest position where a replacement has been performed (-1, if no replacement has been performed).

6. Specify the method

```
public static boolean add1(int[] a, int[] b)
```

that takes two arrays a and b that hold non-negative integers and adds to every element of a the corresponding element of b unless this would result in an overflow (i.e., unless one element is bigger than the difference of Integer.MAX_VALUE and the other element); in that case the value remains unchanged. The function returns "true" if all additions could be performed, i.e., no such "overflow" has occurred.

Hint: you should rule out that a caller passes as a and b the same array (explain why).

7. Specify the method

```
public static void add2(int[] a, int[] b) throws Overflow
```

that behaves like add1, except that at the first occurrence of an "overflow" an exception is thrown that contains the position of the overflow; from that position on all elements of a remain unchanged Hint: jmlc complains about the use of e.pos in the specification of Overflow, you may comment out the corresponding specification clause. Furthermore, you may ignore the warning of escjava2 about the possible violation of a modifies clause of class Overflow; this is due to an underspecification of the superclass Exception.

Also escjava2 has problems with reasoning about \old(a[e.pos]); use the trick explained above of introducing an existentially quantified variable r whose value is e.pos and write \old(e[r]) instead.

Please note that the given informal specifications may be too weak or ambiguous (but not plainly wrong) and that the implementations may be incorrect. If you detect problems, explain them, fix them such that specification and code match and re-run your checks (please apply common sense and consier the probable intention of the developer/client in order to decide how to complete the specification and/or fix the implementation).

Also please note that various tools have restrictions with respect to their support of JML respectively to their capabilities of reasoning about JML. If you encounter some problems apparently related to such restrictions, document them and try to find some workaround (e.g., by commenting out problematic parts of the specification).