

Specifying and Verifying Programs (Part 2)

Wolfgang Schreiner Wolfgang.Schreiner@risc.jku.at

Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC) Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria http://www.risc.jku.at



Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

1/46

Wolfgang Schreiner

Wolfgang Schreiner

Specification by State Predicates



- Hoare calculus and predicate transformers use state predicates.
 - Formulas that talk about a single (pre/post-)state.
 - In such a formula, a reference x means "the value of program variable x in the given state".
- Relationship between pre/post-state is not directly expressible.
 - Requires uninterpreted mathematical constants.

$$\{x = a\}x := x + 1\{x = a + 1\}$$

Unchanged variables have to be explicitly specified.

 $\{x = a \land y = b\}x := x + 1\{x = a + 1 \land y = b\}$

- The semantics of a command *c* is only implicitly specified.
 - Specifications depend on auxiliary state conditions *P*, *Q*.

$$\{P\}c\{Q\}$$

wp(c, Q) = P

Let us turn our focus from individual states to pairs of states.

1. Programs as State Relations

2. The RISC ProgramExplorer

http://www.risc.jku.at

2/46

Specification by State Relations

- We introduce formulas that denote state relations.
 - Talk about a pair of states (the pre-state and the post-state).
 - old *x*: "the value of program variable *x* in the pre-state".
 - var x: "the value of program variable x in the post-state".
- We introduce the logical judgment $c : [F]^{\times,...}$
 - If the execution of c terminates normally, the resulting post-state is related to the pre-state as described by F.
 - Every variable y not listed in the set of variables x,... has the same value in the pre-state and in the post-state.

$$c: F \land var y = old y \land \dots$$

 $\begin{aligned} x &:= x + 1 : [\operatorname{var} x = \operatorname{old} x + 1]^x \\ x &:= x + 1 : \operatorname{var} x = \operatorname{old} x + 1 \wedge \operatorname{var} y = \operatorname{old} y \wedge \operatorname{var} z = \operatorname{old} z \wedge \dots \end{aligned}$

We will discuss the termination of commands later.

Wolfgang Schreiner

State Relation Rules



	$c \cdot [F]^{xs}$ v d	VC
	$\frac{c \cdot [r]}{c \cdot [F \land \text{var } y = \text{old } y]}$	$\sqrt{x^{s} + y^{s}}$
$\mathbf{skip}:[true]^{\emptyset}$	abort : $[true]^{\emptyset}$	$x = e : [var \ x = e']^{\{x\}}$
C1; C2	$c_1 : [F_1]^{xs} c_2 : [F_1]^{xs} c_2 : [F_1]^{xs} c_2 : [F_2]^{xs} $	$\frac{1}{F_2} \sum_{s=1}^{s} \frac{1}{F_2[ys/old xs]}$
if e the	$c: [F]^{\times s}$ on $c: [if e' then F else v$	$var xs = old xs]^{xs}$
if e t	$c_1 : [F_1]^{xs}$ $c_2 : [$ hen c_1 else $c_2 : [$ if e' th	F_2] ^{xs} en F_1 else F_2] ^{xs}
	$c: [F]^{xs}$	
$\vdash \forall xs, ys, zs : I[xs/ol$	d xs, ys/var xs] $\land e[ys/x]$	$(s] \land F[ys/old xs, zs/var xs] \Rightarrow$
	/[xs/old xs, zs/vai	xs]
while <i>e</i> d	o $\{I, t\}$ $c : [\neg e'' \land (I[old$	$1 \text{ xs/var xs}] \Rightarrow I)]^{xs}$
if e then F_1 else $F_2 :\Leftrightarrow (e$	$\Rightarrow F_1) \land (\neg e \Rightarrow F_2)$	
$e := e[oid xs/xs], e^* := e$	e[var xs/xs] (for all prog	ram variables xsj
Wolfgang Schreiner	http://www.risc.jku.a	it

Example



$\begin{array}{l} c_1 = y := y + 1; \\ c_2 = x := x + y \\ c_1 : [\operatorname{var} y = \operatorname{old} y + 1]^y \\ c_2 : [\operatorname{var} x = \operatorname{old} x + \operatorname{old} y]^x \\ c_1 : [\operatorname{var} y = \operatorname{old} y + 1 \wedge \operatorname{var} x = \operatorname{old} x]^{x,y} \\ c_2 : [\operatorname{var} x = \operatorname{old} x + \operatorname{old} y \wedge \operatorname{var} y = \operatorname{old} y]^{x,y} \\ c_1; c_2 : [\exists x_0, y_0 : \\ y_0 = \operatorname{old} y + 1 \wedge x_0 = \operatorname{old} x \wedge \\ \operatorname{var} x = x_0 + y_0 \wedge \operatorname{var} y = y_0]^{x,y} \\ c_1; c_2 : [\operatorname{var} x = \operatorname{old} x + \operatorname{old} y + 1 \wedge \operatorname{var} y = \operatorname{old} y + 1]^{x,y} \end{array}$

Mechanical translation and logical simplification.

Wolfgang Schreiner

Example

http://www.risc.jku.at



Loops

$c: [F]^{xs}$ $\vdash \forall xs, ys, zs: I[xs/old xs, ys/var xs] \land e[ys/xs] \land F[ys/old xs, zs/var xs] \Rightarrow$ I[xs/old xs, zs/var xs]while e do {I, t} c: $[\neg e'' \land (I[old xs/var xs] \Rightarrow I)]^{xs}$ $w = \text{while } i < n \text{ do } \{I, t\} (s: = s + i; i := i + 1)$ $I \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq \text{var } i \leq \text{old } n \land \text{var } s = \sum_{j=0}^{var i-1} j$ $(s: = s + i; i := i + 1): [\text{var } s = \text{old } s + \text{old } i \land \text{var } i = \text{old } i + 1]^{s,i}$ $\vdash \forall s_x, s_y, s_z, i_x, i_y, i_z:$ $(0 \leq i_y \leq \text{old } n \land s_y = \sum_{j=0}^{i_y-1} j) \land i_y < \text{old } n \land (s_z = s_y + i_y \land i_z = i_y + 1) \Rightarrow$ $0 \leq i_z \leq \text{old } n \land s_z = \sum_{j=0}^{i_z-1} j$ The loop relation is derived from the invariant (not the loop body); we

The loop relation is derived from the invariant (not the loop body); we have to prove the preservation of the loop invariant.

Wolfgang Schreiner

7/46

5/46

c =if n < 0 s := -1else s := 0 i := 0while i < n do {I,t} s := s + i i := i + 1 $I \Leftrightarrow 0 \le \text{var } i \le \text{old } n \land \text{var } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{var } i-1} j$ t = old n - old i c : [if old n < 0then var $i = \text{old } i \land \text{var } s = -1$ else var $i = \text{old } n \land \text{var } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{old } n-1} j$]^{s,i} un calculate this "computie accorden" of the provided the set of the provided the provided the set of the provided the pro

Let us calculate this "semantic essence" of the program.

Example



c = if n < 0 then s := -1 else b b = (s := 0; i := 0; w) $w = while i < n do \{l, t\} (s := s + i; i = i + 1)$ $s := 0 : [var s = 0]^{s}$ $s := 0 : [var s = 0 \land var i = old i]^{s,i}$ $i := 0 : [var i = 0]^{i}$ $i := 0 : [var i = 0 \land var s = old s]^{s,i}$

 $s := 0; i := 0 : [\exists s_0, i_0 : s_0 = 0 \land i_0 = \text{old } i \land \text{ var } i = 0 \land \text{var } s = s_0]^{s,i}$ $s := 0; i := 0 : [\text{var } s = 0 \land \text{var } i = 0]^{s,i}$

 $w: [\neg(\text{var } i < \text{var } n) \land (0 \le \text{old } i \le \text{old } n \land \text{old } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{old } i-1} j \Rightarrow I)]^{s,i}$ $w: [\text{var } i \ge \text{old } n \land (0 \le \text{old } i \le \text{old } n \land \text{old } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{old } i-1} j \Rightarrow I)]^{s,i}$

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

9/46

Example

 $\begin{array}{l} c = {\rm if} \ n < 0 \ {\rm then} \ s := -1 \ {\rm else} \ b \\ b = (s := 0; \, i := 0; \, w) \\ w = {\rm while} \ i < n \ {\rm do} \ \{I, t\} \ (s := s + i; \, i = i + 1) \end{array}$

 $egin{aligned} s &:= -1: [ext{var} \; s = -1]^s \ s &:= -1: [ext{var} \; i = ext{old} \; i \wedge ext{var} \; s = -1]^{s,i} \end{aligned}$

 $b: [\operatorname{var} i \ge \operatorname{old} n \land (0 \le \operatorname{old} n \Rightarrow \operatorname{var} i = \operatorname{old} n \land \operatorname{var} s = \sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{old} n-1} j)]^{s,i}$

c: [if old n < 0then var $i = \text{old } i \land \text{var } s = -1$ else var $i \ge \text{old } n \land$ $(0 \le \text{old } n \Rightarrow \text{var } i = \text{old } n \land \text{var } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{old } n-1} j)]^{s,i}$ c: [if old n < 0then var $i = \text{old } i \land \text{var } s = -1$ else var $i = \text{old } n \land \text{var } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{old } n-1} j)]^{s,i}$

Example



```
c = if n < 0 then s := -1 else b

b = (s := 0; i := 0; w)

w = while i < n do \{1, t\} (s := s + i; i = i + 1)

s := 0; i := 0 : [var s = 0 \land var i = 0]^{s,i}

w : [var i \ge old n \land (0 \le old i \le old n \land old s = \sum_{j=0}^{old i-1} j \Rightarrow I)]^{s,i}

b : [\exists s_0, i_0 : s_0 = 0 \land i_0 = 0 \land

var i \ge old n \land (0 \le i_0 \le old n \land s_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{i_0-1} j \Rightarrow I)]^{s,i}

b : [\exists s_0, i_0 : s_0 = 0 \land i_0 = 0 \land

var i \ge old n \land (0 \le old n \Rightarrow I)]^{s,i}

b : [var i \ge old n \land

(0 \le old n \Rightarrow 0 \le var i \le old n \land var s = \sum_{j=0}^{var i-1} j)]^{s,i}

b : [var i \ge old n \land

(0 \le old n \Rightarrow var i = old n \land var s = \sum_{j=0}^{old n-1} j)]^{s,i}
```

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

Partial Correctness

■ Specification (*xs*, *P*, *Q*)

- Set of program variables *xs* (which may be modified).
- Precondition P (a formula with "old xs" but no "var xs").
- Postcondition *Q* (a formula with both "old *xs*" and "var *xs*").
- Partial correctness of implementation c

1. Derive
$$c : [F]^{xs}$$
.
2. Prove $F \Rightarrow (P \Rightarrow Q)$
• Or: $P \Rightarrow (F \Rightarrow Q)$

• Or:
$$(P \land F) \Rightarrow Q$$

Verification of partial correctness leads to the proof of an implication.

Relationship to Other Calculi



Let all state conditions refer via "old xs" to program variables xs.

- Hoare Calculus
 - For proving $\{P\}c\{Q\}$,
 - it suffices to derive $c : [F]^{\times s}$
 - and prove $P \wedge F \Rightarrow Q[var xs/old xs]$.
- Predicate Transformers
 - Assume we can derive $c : [F]^{xs}$.
 - If *c* does not contain loops, then
 - $\mathsf{wp}(c, Q) = \forall xs : F[xs/\mathsf{var} \ xs] \Rightarrow Q[xs/\mathsf{old} \ xs]$
 - $\mathsf{sp}(c, P) = \exists xs : P[xs/\mathsf{old} \ xs] \land F[xs/\mathsf{old} \ xs, \mathsf{old} \ xs/\mathsf{var} \ xs]$
 - If c contains loops, the result is still a valid pre/post-condition but not necessarily the weakest/strongest one.
- A generalization of the previously presented calculi.

Wolfgang	Schreiner
110ingung	00000

http://www.risc.jku.at

13/46

Termination Condition Rules



skip↓true

abort↓true

.

 $x := e \downarrow true$

```
\frac{c_1 \downarrow T_1 \quad c_2 \downarrow T_2}{c_1; c_2 \downarrow T_1 \land \mathsf{wp}(c_1, T_2)}
```

$$\frac{c \downarrow T}{\text{if } e \text{ then } c \downarrow e' \Rightarrow T}$$

 $c_1 \downarrow T_1 \quad c_2 \downarrow T_2$ **if** e **then** c_1 **else** $c_2 \downarrow$ if e' then T_1 else T_2

 $c: [F]^{\times s} \quad c \downarrow T$

 $\vdash \forall xs, ys, zs$:

 $I[xs/old xs, ys/var xs] \land e[ys/xs] \land F[ys/old xs, zs/var xs] \land t[ys/old xs] \ge 0 \Rightarrow$ $T[ys/old xs] \land 0 \le t[zs/old xs] < t[ys/old xs]$ while e do {1, t} c \ t > 0

In every iteration of a loop, the loop body must terminate and the termination term must decrease (but not become negative).

Termination





```
c =
if n < 0
s := -1
else
s := 0
i := 0
while i < n do {I,t}
s := s + i
i := i + 1
I \Leftrightarrow 0 \le \text{var } i \le \text{old } n \land \text{var } s = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{var } i-1} j]
t = \text{old } n - \text{old } i
c \downarrow \text{ if old } n < 0 \text{ then true else } \dots
c \downarrow \text{ if old } n < 0 \text{ then true else old } n \ge 0
c \downarrow \text{ true}
```

We still have to prove the constraint on the loop iteration.

15/46

14/46

Example



```
s := s + i; i := i + 1 \downarrow true
\forall s_x, s_y, s_z, i_x, i_y, i_z:
     (0 \le i_y \le \text{old } n \land s_y = \sum_{i=0}^{i_y-1} j) \land
     i_v < \text{old } n \wedge
     (s_z = s_v + i_v \wedge i_z = i_v + 1) \wedge
     old n - i_v > 0 \Rightarrow
         true \land
         0 \leq \text{old } n - i_z < \text{old } n - i_y
```

Also this constraint is simple to prove.

1. Programs as State Relations

2. The RISC ProgramExplorer

http://www.risc.jku.at

17/46

Wolfgang Schreiner

Abortion

http://www.risc.jku.at

Also abortion can be ruled out by proving side conditions in the usual way.

Wolfgang Schreiner. Computer-Assisted Program Reasoning Based on a

Relational Semantics of Programs. Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC), Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria, 2011.



The RISC ProgramExplorer

See the report for the full calculus.



19/46



Using the Software



See "The RISC ProgramExplorer: Tutorial and Manual".

- Develop a theory.
 - File "Theory.theory" with a theory Theory of mathematical types, constants, functions, predicates, axioms, and theorems.
 - Can be also added to a program file.

Develop a program.

- File "Class.java" with a class Class that contains class (static) and object (non-static) variables, methods and constructors.
- Class may be annotated by a theory (and an object invariant).
- Methods may be annotated by method specifications.
- Loops may be annotated by invariants and termination terms.

Analyze method semantics.

- Transition relations, termination conditions, ... of the method body and its individual commands.
- Perform verification tasks.
 - Frame, postcondition, termination, preconditions, loop-related tasks, type-checking conditions.

```
Wolfgang Schreiner
```

http://www.risc.jku.at

The Graphical User Interface



21/46

Wol



Starting the Software



Starting the software:

module load ProgramExplorer (only at RISC)
ProgramExplorer &
Command line options:
Usage: ProgramExplorer [OPTION]...
OPTION: one of the following options:
 -h, --help: print this message.
 -cp, --classpath [PATH]:
 directories representing top package.

Environment Variables: PE_CLASSPATH:

the directories (separated by ":") representing the top package (default the current working directory)

Task repository created/read in current working directory:

Subdirectory	.PETASKS.timestamp (ProgramExplorer tasks)
Subdirectory	.ProofNavigator (ProofNavigator legacy)
fgang Schreiner	http://www.risc.jku.at

A Program



22/46

```
/*@..
class Sum
Ł
 static int sum(int n) /*@..
 ſ
   int s;
   if (n < 0)
      s = -1;
    else
    Ł
     s = 0:
     int i = 1;
      while (i <= n) /*@..
      ſ
        s = s+i;
        i = i+1;
     }
   }
                                    Markers /*@.. indicate
    return s;
 }
                                    hidden mathematical annotations.
}
```

Wolfgang Schreiner

A Theory



/*@

```
theory {
   sum: (INT, INT) -> INT;
   sumaxiom: AXIOM
   FORALL(m: INT, n: INT):
        IF n<m THEN
        sum(m, n) = 0
        ELSE
        sum(m, n) = n+sum(m, n-1)
        ENDIF;
   }
@*/
class Sum
....</pre>
```

The introduction of a function
$$sum(m, n) = \sum_{i=m}^{n} j$$

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

25/46

27/46

A Loop Annotation



The loop invariant and termination term (measure).

A Method Specification



```
static int sum(int n) /*@
requires VAR n < Base.MAX_INT;
ensures
LET result=VALUE@NEXT IN
IF VAR n < 0
THEN result = -1
ELSE result = sum(1, VAR n)
ENDIF;
@*/
...</pre>
```

For non-negative n, a call of program method sum(n) returns sum(1, n) (and does not modify any global variable).

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

26/46

The Specification Language

Derived from the language of the RISC ProofNavigator.

- State conditions/relations, state terms.
 - State condition: method precondition (requires).
 - State relation: method postcondition (ensures), loop invariant (invariant).
 - State term: termination term (decreases).

References to program variables.

OLD x: the value of program variable x in the pre-state. VAR x: the value of program variable x in the post-state.

- In state conditions/terms, both refer to the value in the current state.
- If program variable is of the program type T, then then OLD/VAR x is of the mathematical type T'.

 $int \rightarrow Base.int = [Base.MIN_INT, Base.MAX_INT].$

Function results

VALUE@NEXT: the return value of a program function.

The value of the function call's post-state NEXT.

The Semantics View



Sum.sum 2	
Sum.sum	Body Knowledge
requires old n < Base, MAXint	(Show Original Formulas)
ensures let result = value@next	Bra State K newledge
in	Pre-state Knowledge
(if var n < 0 then result = -1 else result = sum(1, var n) endit) old n < Base.MAX _{INT}
public static int sum(int n) /*@	Effects
requires OLD n < Base.MAX_INT; appures LET consult = VALESMENT IN (IE)	AP p. c p executes: faise, continues: faise, breaks: faise, returns: true
	variables: -; exceptions:-
• (Transition Relation
<pre>int s; if (n < n)</pre>	if $cld n < 0$ then
0 = = -1:	returns@next ∧ value@next = -1
else	else
0 (returns @ next
0 s = 0;	A (Bog Baca intrin = old as 1 a 1 d in a subar@nast = com(1 in 1))
<pre>int i = 1; int i = 1;</pre>	$(\operatorname{sine}\operatorname{Base}\operatorname{ine}\operatorname{ine}\operatorname{sine}\operatorname$
invariant VAR n < Base.MAX INT AND :	<= VAR old n < Base.MAX _{INT}
decreases OLD n-OLD i+1;	endif
0 s = s+i;	
○ i = i+1;	
O return s:	
·····	
Salart a statement and daling a condition for its projositetate:	
Submit Reset OPrestate OPoststate	
	*

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

A Body Command



29/46

Statement Knowledge

[Show Original Formulas]

Pre-State Knowledge

old $n < Base.MAX_{INT} \land old n \ge 0 \land old s = 0 \land old i = 1$

Precondition

old $n < \text{Base.MAX}_{\text{INT}} \land 1 \le \text{old } i \land \text{old } i \le \text{old } n+1 \land \text{old } s = \text{sum}(1, \text{old } i-1)$

Effects

executes: true, continues: false, breaks: false, returns: false variables: *s*, *i*; exceptions:-

Transition Relation

Move the mouse pointer over the box to the left of the loop.

$var i = old n + 1 \land old n < Base.MAX_{INT} \land 1 \leq var i \land var s = sum(1, var i - 1)$

Termination Condition

 $executes@now \Rightarrow old n - old i \ge -1$

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

31/46

The Method Body





The Semantics Elements

Pre-State Knowledge

What is known about the pre-state of the command.

Precondition

What has to be true for the pre-state of the command such that the command may be executed.

Effects

Which kind of effects may the command have.

- variables: which variables may be changed.
- exceptions: which exceptions may be thrown.
- executes, continues, breaks, returns: may the execution terminate normally, may it be terminated by a continue, break, return.
- Transition Relation
 - The prestate/poststate relationship of the command.
- Termination

What has to be true for the pre-state of the command such that the command terminates.

Formulas are shown after simplification (see "Show Original Formulas")

Wolfgang Schreiner

Constraining a State





The Verification Tasks



- **Effects**: does the method only change those global variables indicated in the method's assignable clause?
- Postcondition: do the method's precondition and the body's state relation imply the method's postcondition?
- **Termination**: does the method's precondition imply the body's termination condition?
- Precondition: does a statement's prestate knowledge imply the statement's precondition?
- Loops: is the loop invariant preserved, the measure well-formed (does not become negative) and decreased?
- **Type checking conditions:** are all formulas well-typed?
- Specification validation: does for every input that satisfies a precondition exist a result that does (not) satisfy the postcondition?

Partially solved by automatic decision procedure, partially by an interactive computer-supported proof.

Wolfgang Schreiner

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

The Task States

The Verification Tasks

The task status is indicated by color (icon).

- Blue (sun): the task was solved in the current execution of the RISC ProgramExplorer (automatically or by an interactive proof).
- Violet (partially clouded): the task was solved in a previous execution by an interactive proof.
 - Nothing has changed, so we need not perform the proof again.
 - However, we may replay the proof to investigate it.
- Red (partially clouded): there exists a proof but it is either not complete or cannot be trusted any more (something has changed).
- Red (fully clouded): there does not yet exist a proof.

Select "Execute Task" to start/replay a proof, "Show Proof" to display a proof, "Reset Task" to delete a proof.

35/46

A Postcondition Proof





Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

The Representation of Arrays



37/46

The program type int[] is mapped to the mathematical type Base.IntArray.

```
theory Base
{
  . . .
  IntArray: TYPE =
    [#value: ARRAY int OF int, length: nat, null: BOOLEAN#];
  . . .
}
```

- **(VAR** *a*).length: the number of elements in array *a*.
- (VAR a).value[i]: the element with index i in array a.
- **(VAR** *a*).null: *a* is the null pointer.

Program type *Class* is mapped to mathematical type *Class*. *Class*; Class [] is mapped to Class. Array.

39/46

Theory

/*@

}

@*/

theory uses Base { int: TYPE = Base.int;

intArray: TYPE = Base.IntArray;

FORALL(a: intArray, n: NAT, x: int):

smallestPosition: FORMULA

j >= i));

(EXISTS(i:int): 0 <= i AND i < n AND a.value[i] = x) =>

(EXISTS(i:int): 0 <= i AND i < n AND a.value[i] = x AND

(FORALL(j:int): 0 <= j AND j < n AND a.value[j] = x =>

Linear Search

```
/*@..
       public class Searching
       ſ
         public static int search(int[] a, int x) /*@..
         ſ
           int n = a.length;
           int r = -1;
           int i = 0;
           while (i < n \&\& r == -1) /*0.
           ł
             if (a[i] == x)
               r = i;
             else
               i = i+1;
           }
           return r;
         }
Wolfgang Schreiner
                                  http://www.risc.jku.at
```



38/46

public class Searching

Method Specification



```
public static int search(int[] a, int x) /*@
requires (VAR a).null = FALSE;
ensures
LET result = VALUE@NEXT, n = (VAR a).length IN
IF result = -1 THEN
FORALL(i: INT): 0 <= i AND i < n =>
      (VAR a).value[i] /= VAR x
ELSE
0 <= result AND result < n AND
(FORALL(i: INT): 0 <= i AND i < result =>
      (VAR a).value[i] /= VAR x) AND
(VAR a).value[i] /= VAR x
ENDIF;
@*/
...
```

```
Wolfgang Schreiner
```

http://www.risc.jku.at

41/46

Method Semantics



Transition Relation

```
(\exists in \in Base.int, n \in Base.int:
n = old a.length \land (in \ge n \lor value@next \ne -1) \land 0 \le in \land in \le n
\land
(\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}: 0 \le i \land i < in \Rightarrow old a.value[i] \ne old x)
\land
(value@next = -1)
\lor
value@next = in \land in < n \land old a.value[value@next] = old x)) \land \neg old a.null
\land
returns@next
```

Termination Condition

 $executes@now \Rightarrow old a.length \ge 0$

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

43/46

Loop Annotation

```
Wolfgang Schreiner
```

http://www.risc.jku.at



Verification Tasks

[Searching.search] effects
Searching.search1postcondition
[Searching.search] termination
Example 1 (Searching.search:0] declaration precondition
[Searching.search:1] declaration precondition
[Searching.search:2] while loop precondition
🔆 [Searching.search:3] conditional precondition
🔆 [Searching.search:4] assignment precondition
🗢 🛅 loops
🚵 [Searching.search:rbl] invariant is preserved
🔅 [Searching.search:rbl] measure is well-formed
🔅 [Searching.search:rbl] measure is decreased
🗢 🛅 type checking conditions
🔅 [Searching.(local):p3x] value is in interval
🔅 [Searching.(local):smu] value is in interval
🔅 [Searching.(local):unx] value is in interval
Implementation providential (and the second seco



Invariant Proof



Dreaf Tree	Defactions
vroor nee V flowl: decompose	Declarations
w lundt ant an	^
T [link contor	$r_{mew} = r) \land i_0 < n_{old}$
[ala], acate	A
 [erz]: auto (ferre): auto 	$i_{new} = i_0 + 1$
(Rew): proved (CVCL)	endif)
 [2]2]: scatter 	⇒
[zxa]: proved (CVCC)	$\neg a_{obs}$ null $\land n_{obs} = a_{obs}$ length $\land 0 \le i_{new}$
[3ka]: proved (CVCL)	
	$i_{acw} \le n_{chd}$
[j43]: proved (CVCL)	A
	$(0 \le i_1 \land i_1 < i_{new} \Rightarrow a_{okt}.value[i_1] \neq x_{okt})$
	A
	$(r_{new} = -1)$
	v
	$r_{new} = i_{new} \wedge i_{new} < n_{odd} \wedge a_{odd} \cdot value[r_{new}] = x_{odd}$
	=
	View Declarations
	Input/Output
	[#null:BOOLEAN, new:INT#], length:[0MAX_INT], null:BOOLEAN#].
	Value x:[0MAX_INT].
	Value y: #value: ARRAY [MIN_INT. MAX_INT] OF [#null:BOOLEAN, new:INT#],
	Value 1.(0. MAX_INI], hult:BUOLEAN#].
	Formula onal already bas a (skeleton) proof (proof status: trusted, closed,
	absolute)
	Proof state [kaw] is closed by decision procedure.
	Proof state [2xa] is closed by decision procedure.
	Proof state [3xa] is closed by decision procedure.
	Proof state [143] is closed by decision procedure.
	Use 'proof goal ' to see proof.
	and broot Board to provide

Wolfgang Schreiner

http://www.risc.jku.at

45/46

Wolfgang Schreiner

Working Strategy



- Develop theory.
 - Introduce interesting theorems that may be used in verifications.
- Develop specifications.
 - Validate specifications, e.g. by showing satisfiability and non-triviality.
- Develop program with annotations.
 - Validate programs/annotations by investigating program semantics.
- Prove postcondition and termination.
 - Partial and total correctness.
 - By proofs necessity of additional theorems may be detected.
- Prove precondition tasks and loop tasks.
 - By proofs necessity of additional theorems may be detected.
- Prove mathematical theorems.
 - Validation of auxiliary knowledge used in verifications.

The integrated development of theories, specifications, programs, annotations is crucial for the design of provably correct programs.

http://www.risc.jku.at