

# PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC: APPLICATIONS OF SAT SOLVING

Course “Computational Logic”



Wolfgang Schreiner


Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC)

[Wolfgang.Schreiner@risc.jku.at](mailto:Wolfgang.Schreiner@risc.jku.at)



## Example: The Graph Coloring Problem

Given a graph and  $k$  colors, assign to every graph node some color such that all neighbor nodes have different colors.



- Propositional variables:  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2$ .

- Variable  $v_i$ : graph node  $v$  has color  $i$ .

- Every node has some color.

$$a_1 \vee a_2, b_1 \vee b_2, c_1 \vee c_2, d_1 \vee d_2.$$

- No node has multiple colors.

$$\neg(a_1 \wedge a_2), \neg(b_1 \wedge b_2), \neg(c_1 \wedge c_2), \neg(d_1 \wedge d_2).$$

- All neighbor nodes have different colors.

$$\neg(a_1 \wedge b_1), \neg(a_2 \wedge b_2),$$

$$\neg(c_1 \wedge b_1), \neg(c_2 \wedge b_2),$$

$$\neg(d_1 \wedge b_1), \neg(d_2 \wedge b_2).$$

Are these constraints satisfiable?

# The Graph Coloring Problem with MiniSAT

```
debian10!1> cat colors.cnf
```

```
c file "colors.cnf"
```

```
p cnf 8 14
```

```
1 2 0
```

```
3 4 0
```

```
5 6 0
```

```
7 8 0
```

```
-1 -2 0
```

```
-3 -4 0
```

```
-5 -6 0
```

```
-7 -8 0
```

```
-1 -3 0
```

```
-2 -4 0
```

```
-3 -5 0
```

```
-4 -6 0
```

```
-3 -7 0
```

```
-4 -8 0
```

```
debian10!2> minisat -verb=0 colors.cnf colors.out
```

```
WARNING: for repeatability, setting FPU to use double precision
```

```
SATISFIABLE
```

```
debian10!3> cat colors.out
```

```
SAT
```

```
-1 2 3 -4 -5 6 -7 8 0
```

# The Graph Coloring Problem with Limboole

## Limboole on the Go!

Uses [Limboole](#) (MIT licensed), [PicoSAT](#) (MIT licensed), and [DepQBF](#) (GPLv3 licensed) to parse an easy SAT and QBF DSL (instead of relying on DIMACS). Compiled using [Emscripten](#), [Source Code and Modifications are available on GitHub](#). Created by [Max Heisinger](#). I also wrote a short [blog entry](#) about this. Support on GitHub and on [#limboole](#) on [Libera.Chat](#).

Open How-To

Satisfiability Check

Run (or Shift+Enter in input area)

### Input Drag&Drop ✓

```
(a1 | a2) & (b1 | b2) & (c1 | c2) & (d1 | d2) &
!(a1 & a2) & !(b1 & b2) & !(c1 & c2) & !(d1 & d2) &
!(a1 & b1) & !(a2 & b2) &
!(c1 & b1) & !(c2 & b2) &
!(d1 & b1) & !(d2 & b2)
```

### Output

```
% SATISFIABLE formula (satisfying assignment follows)
a1 = 1
a2 = 0
b1 = 0
b2 = 1
c1 = 1
c2 = 0
d1 = 1
d2 = 0
```

### Errors

## Example: Sudoku

5	3	4	6	7	8	9	1	2
6	7	2	1	9	5	3	4	8
1	9	8	3	4	2	5	6	7
8	5	9	7	6	1	4	2	3
4	2	6	8	5	3	7	9	1
7	1	3	9	2	4	8	5	6
9	6	1	5	3	7	2	8	4
2	8	7	4	1	9	6	3	5
3	4	5	2	8	6	1	7	9

Fill an  $N \times N$  grid with numbers  $1..N$  such that the same number does not occur twice in any row or column or any of the  $N$  subgrids of size  $\sqrt{N} \times \sqrt{N}$ .

- $N^3$  variables  $c_{i,j,v}$ 
  - Variable  $c_{i,j,v}$ : cell in row  $i$  and column  $j$  has value  $v$ .
- Every field has some value.
  - $N^2$  formulas  $c_{i,j,1} \vee \dots \vee c_{i,j,N}$ .
- No field has two different values.
  - $N^3 \cdot (N + 1)/2$  formulas  $\neg(c_{i,j,v_1} \wedge c_{i,j,v_2})$ .
- Every row has not in two different columns the same value.
  - $N^3 \cdot (N + 1)/2$  formulas  $\neg(c_{i,j_1,v} \wedge c_{i,j_2,v})$ .
- Every column has not in two different rows the same value.
  - $N^3 \cdot (N + 1)/2$  formulas  $\neg(c_{i_1,j,v} \wedge c_{i_2,j,v})$ .
- Every subgrid has in two different cells not the same value.
  - $N^3 \cdot (N + 1)/2$  formulas  $\neg(c_{i',j',v} \wedge c_{i'',j'',v})$ .

We better write a program to generate the formulas.

# A Java Program for Printing the Formula

```
public class Sudoku {
    private static final int M=2; private static final int N=M*M;

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        someNumber(); and(); notMultipleNumbers(); and();
        rowConditions(); and(); columnConditions(); and(); tileConditions();
    }

    private static void or() { System.out.print("|"); }
    private static void and() { System.out.print("&"); }
    private static void not() { System.out.print("!"); }
    private static void open() { System.out.print("("); }
    private static void close() { System.out.print(")"); }
    private static void entry(int i, int j, int v)
    { System.out.print("cell_" + i + "_" + j + "_value_" + (v+1)); }
    private static void entries(int i1, int j1, int v1, int i2, int j2, int v2)
    { open(); entry(i1,j1,v1); and(); entry(i2,j2,v2); close(); }
    ...
}
```

## A Java Program for Printing the Formula

```
private static void someNumber() {
    for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j < N; j++) {
            open();
            for (int v = 0; v < N; v++) { entry(i,j,v); if (v+1 < N) or(); }
            close();
            if (i+1 < N || j+1 < N) and();
        }
    }
}
```

```
private static void notMultipleNumbers() {
    for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j < N; j++) {
            for (int v1 = 0; v1 < N-1; v1++) {
                for (int v2 = v1+1; v2 < N; v2++) {
                    not(); entries(i,j,v1,i,j,v2);
                    if (i+1 < N || j+1 < N || v1+1 < N-1 || v2+1 < N) and();
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```

## A Java Program for Printing the Formula

```
private static void rowConditions() {
    for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
        for (int j1 = 0; j1 < N-1; j1++) {
            for (int j2 = j1+1; j2 < N; j2++) {
                for (int v = 0; v < N; v++) {
                    not(); entries(i,j1,v,i,j2,v);
                    if (i+1 < N || j1+1 < N-1 || j2+1 < N || v+1 < N) and();
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```

```
private static void columnConditions() {
    for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
        for (int j1 = 0; j1 < N-1; j1++) {
            for (int j2 = j1+1; j2 < N; j2++) {
                for (int v = 0; v < N; v++) {
                    not(); entries(j1,i,v,j2,i,v);
                    if (i+1 < N || j1+1 < N-1 || j2+1 < N || v+1 < N) and();
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```



# A Java Program for Printing the Formula

```
private static void tileConditions() {
    for (int i = 0; i < M; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j < M; j++) {
            for (int v = 0; v < N; v++) {
                for (int k1 = 0; k1 < N-1; k1++) {
                    for (int k2 = k1+1; k2 < N; k2++) {
                        int k1i = k1/M; int k1j = k1%M;
                        int k2i = k2/M; int k2j = k2%M;
                        not(); entries(i*M+k1i,j*M+k1j,v,i*M+k2i,j*M+k2j,v);
                        if (i+1 < M || j+1 < M || v+1 < N || k1+1 < N-1 || k2+1 < N) and();
                    }
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```

# A Java Program for Printing the Formula

```
debian10!1> java Sudoku > sudoku2.bool
debian10!2> cat sudoku2.bool
(cell_0_0_value_1|cell_0_0_value_2|cell_0_0_value_3|cell_0_0_value_4)&
(cell_0_1_value_1|cell_0_1_value_2|cell_0_1_value_3|cell_0_1_value_4)&
...&
!(cell_0_0_value_1&cell_0_0_value_2)&
!(cell_0_0_value_1&cell_0_0_value_3)&
...&
!(cell_0_0_value_1&cell_0_1_value_1)&
!(cell_0_0_value_2&cell_0_1_value_2)&
...&
!(cell_0_0_value_1&cell_1_0_value_1)&
!(cell_0_0_value_2&cell_1_0_value_2)
...&
!(cell_0_0_value_1&cell_0_1_value_1)&
!(cell_0_0_value_1&cell_1_0_value_1)
...
```

Size of formula is 15 KB for  $M = 2$ , 444 KB for  $M = 3$ .

# Sudoku with Limboole

## Limboole on the Go!

Uses [Limboole](#) (MIT licensed), [PicoSAT](#) (MIT licensed), and [DepQBF](#) (GPLv3 licensed) to parse an easy SAT and QBF DSL (instead of relying on DIMACS). Compiled using [Emscripten](#), [Source Code and Modifications are available on GitHub](#). Created by [Max Heisinger](#). I also wrote a short [blog entry](#) about this. Support on [GitHub](#) and on [#limboole](#) on [Libera.Chat](#).

Open How-To

Satisfiability Check

Run (or Shift+Enter in input area)

### Input Drag&Drop ✓

```
(cell_0_0_value_1|cell_0_0_value_2|cell_0_0_value_3|cell_0_0_value_4)&
(cell_0_1_value_1|cell_0_1_value_2|cell_0_1_value_3|cell_0_1_value_4)&
(cell_0_2_value_1|cell_0_2_value_2|cell_0_2_value_3|cell_0_2_value_4)&
(cell_0_3_value_1|cell_0_3_value_2|cell_0_3_value_3|cell_0_3_value_4)&
(cell_1_0_value_1|cell_1_0_value_2|cell_1_0_value_3|cell_1_0_value_4)&
(cell_1_1_value_1|cell_1_1_value_2|cell_1_1_value_3|cell_1_1_value_4)&
(cell_1_2_value_1|cell_1_2_value_2|cell_1_2_value_3|cell_1_2_value_4)&
(cell_1_3_value_1|cell_1_3_value_2|cell_1_3_value_3|cell_1_3_value_4)&
(cell_2_0_value_1|cell_2_0_value_2|cell_2_0_value_3|cell_2_0_value_4)&
(cell_2_1_value_1|cell_2_1_value_2|cell_2_1_value_3|cell_2_1_value_4)&
(cell_2_2_value_1|cell_2_2_value_2|cell_2_2_value_3|cell_2_2_value_4)&
(cell_2_3_value_1|cell_2_3_value_2|cell_2_3_value_3|cell_2_3_value_4)&
(cell_3_0_value_1|cell_3_0_value_2|cell_3_0_value_3|cell_3_0_value_4)&
(cell_3_1_value_1|cell_3_1_value_2|cell_3_1_value_3|cell_3_1_value_4)&
(cell_3_2_value_1|cell_3_2_value_2|cell_3_2_value_3|cell_3_2_value_4)&
(cell_3_3_value_1|cell_3_3_value_2|cell_3_3_value_3|cell_3_3_value_4)
&!(cell_0_0_value_1&cell_0_0_value_2)&!
(cell_0_0_value_1&cell_0_0_value_3)&!
```

### Output

```
% SATISFIABLE formula (satisfying assignment follows)
cell_0_0_value_1 = 1
cell_0_0_value_2 = 0
cell_0_0_value_3 = 0
cell_0_0_value_4 = 0
cell_0_1_value_1 = 0
cell_0_1_value_2 = 1
cell_0_1_value_3 = 0
cell_0_1_value_4 = 0
cell_0_2_value_1 = 0
cell_0_2_value_2 = 0
cell_0_2_value_3 = 1
cell_0_2_value_4 = 0
cell_0_3_value_1 = 0
cell_0_3_value_2 = 0
cell_0_3_value_3 = 0
cell_0_3_value_4 = 1
cell_1_0_value_1 = 0
```

# Sudoku with Limboole

```
% SATISFIABLE formula (...)  
cell_0_0_value_1 = 1  
cell_0_1_value_2 = 1  
cell_0_2_value_3 = 1  
cell_0_3_value_4 = 1  
cell_1_0_value_3 = 1  
cell_1_1_value_4 = 1  
cell_1_2_value_1 = 1  
cell_1_3_value_2 = 1  
cell_2_0_value_2 = 1  
cell_2_1_value_1 = 1  
cell_2_2_value_4 = 1  
cell_2_3_value_3 = 1  
cell_3_0_value_4 = 1  
cell_3_1_value_3 = 1  
cell_3_2_value_2 = 1  
cell_3_3_value_1 = 1
```

1	2	3	4
3	4	1	2
2	1	4	3
4	3	2	1

# Sudoku with Limboole: Preset Cell Entries

cell\_0\_0\_value\_3 & cell\_0\_2\_value\_4 & cell\_2\_3\_value\_1 & cell\_3\_1\_value\_2 & ... (printed formula)

% SATISFIABLE formula (...)

cell\_0\_0\_value\_3 = 1

cell\_0\_2\_value\_4 = 1

cell\_2\_3\_value\_1 = 1

cell\_3\_1\_value\_2 = 1

cell\_0\_1\_value\_1 = 1

cell\_0\_3\_value\_2 = 1

cell\_1\_0\_value\_2 = 1

cell\_1\_1\_value\_4 = 1

cell\_1\_2\_value\_1 = 1

cell\_1\_3\_value\_3 = 1

cell\_2\_0\_value\_4 = 1

cell\_2\_1\_value\_3 = 1

cell\_2\_2\_value\_2 = 1

cell\_3\_0\_value\_1 = 1


cell\_3\_2\_value\_3 = 1

cell\_3\_3\_value\_4 = 1

3	1	4	2
2	4	1	3
4	3	2	1
1	2	3	4

## Example: A Multiplexer

- We can model **digital circuits** as propositional formulas.
  - **Wires:** propositional variables.
  - **Gates:** logical connectives.
- **A one bit multiplexer:**



$$z \leftrightarrow (x \ \& \ !s) \ | \ (y \ \& \ s)$$

Thus digital circuits can be analyzed by SAT solvers.

## Example: A Multiplexer



```
debian10!1> limboole
(z <-> (x & !s) | (y & s)) -> (s -> (z <-> y))
% VALID formula
debian10!2> limboole
(z <-> (x & !s) | (y & s)) -> (!s -> (z <-> x))
% VALID formula
debian10!3> limboole
(z <-> (x & !s) | (y & s)) -> ((z <-> y) -> s)
% INVALID formula (falsifying assignment follows)
z = 0
x = 0
s = 0
y = 0
debian10!4> limboole
(z <-> (x & !s) | (y & s)) -> ((z <-> y) -> s | (x <-> y))
% VALID formula
```

The multiplexer shows the expected behavior.



# Example: An Arithmetic Circuit

Let us now consider digital arithmetic.


© <https://commons.wikimedia.org>



A	B	S	C <sub>out</sub>
0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1



A	B	C <sub>in</sub>	S	C <sub>out</sub>
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	0
0	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1





	C <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>3</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	A <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>1</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	A <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	C <sub>0</sub>
		1	0	1	1	
+		0	1	1	0	1
=	1	0	0	1	0	

From a “half adder” to a “full adder” to a “ripple-carry adder”.



# A Half Adder in OCaml





<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>S</i>	<i>C<sub>out</sub></i>
0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1

```
let halfsum x y = Iff(x,Not y);;  
let halfcarry x y = And(x,y);;  
let ha x y s c = And(Iff(s,halfsum x y),Iff(c,halfcarry x y));;
```

The (sub)circuits are modeled by formulas that are parameterized over other formulas; the parameters can be instantiated by atoms whose truth values determine the bit values of the wires.

# A Full Adder in OCaml



A	B	C <sub>in</sub>	S	C <sub>out</sub>
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	0
0	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1

```
let fa0 x y z s c s0 c0 c1 = And(And(ha x y s0 c0,ha s0 z s c1),Iff(c,Or(c0,c1)));;
```

```
let carry x y z = Or(And(x,y),And(Or(x,y),z));;
```

```
let sum x y z = halfsum (halfsum x y) z;;
```

```
let fa x y z s c = And(Iff(s,sum x y z),Iff(c,carry x y z));;
```

```
let p(x) = Atom(P(x));;
```


```
let adder1 = fa0 (p "A") (p "B") (p "C_in") (p "S") (p "C_out") (p "S0") (p "C0") (p "C1");;
```

```
let adder2 = fa (p "A") (p "B") (p "C_in") (p "S") (p "C_out");;
```

```
# tautology(Imp(adder1,adder2));;
```

```
- : bool = true
```

# A Ripple-Carry Adder in OCaml



	C <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>3</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	A <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>1</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	A <sub>0</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	C <sub>0</sub>
+		1	0	1	1	1
=	1	1	1	1	0	

```
let conjoin f l = list_conj (map f l);;  
let ripplecarry x y c out n =  
  conjoin (fun i -> fa (x i) (y i) (c i) (out i) (c(i + 1))) (0 -- (n - 1));;  
  
let mk_index x i = Atom(P(x^"_"^(string_of_int i))));;  
let [x; y; out; c] = map mk_index ["A"; "B"; "S"; "C"];;  
  
# ripplecarry x y c out 2;;  
<<((S_0 <=> (A_0 <=> ~B_0) <=> ~C_0) /\ (C_1 <=> A_0 /\ B_0 \/ (A_0 \/ B_0) /\ C_0)) /\  
  (S_1 <=> (A_1 <=> ~B_1) <=> ~C_1) /\ (C_2 <=> A_1 /\ B_1 \/ (A_1 \/ B_1) /\ C_1)>>
```

## Analyzing the Adder

Does the adder ensure associativity?

```
let [x; y; z; xy; yz; xyz1; xyz2; cxy; cyz; cxyz1; cxyz2] =  
  map mk_index ["X"; "Y"; "Z";  
               "XY"; "YZ"; "XYZ1"; "XYZ2";  
               "CXY"; "CYZ"; "CXYZ1"; "CXYZ2"];;
```

```
let bvsized = ...;;
```

```
let addxy = ripplecarry x y cxy xy bvsized;;  
let addxyz1 = ripplecarry xy z cxyz1 xyz1 bvsized;;  
let adder1 = And(addxy,addxyz1);;
```

```
let addyz = ripplecarry y z cyz yz bvsized;;  
let addxyz2 = ripplecarry x yz cxyz2 xyz2 bvsized;;  
let adder2 = And(addyz,addxyz2);;
```

Two circuits that compute  $(x + y) + z$  respectively  $x + (y + z)$ .

## Analyzing the Adder

Does the adder ensure associativity?

```
let pvar x i = p(x^"_"^ (string_of_int i));;
let rec allequal x y i =
  if i = 1 then Iff(pvar x 0, pvar y 0)
  else And(Iff(pvar x (i-1), pvar y (i-1)), allequal x y (i-1));;

let associative =
  Imp(And(And(adder1, adder2), And(
    And(Not(p "CXY_0"), Not(p "CXYZ1_0")),
    And(Not(p "CYZ_0"), Not(p "CXYZ2_0")))),
    (allequal "XYZ1" "XYZ2" bvsizes));;
```

If both circuits initially provide carry 0 as input for their components, the two bit vectors produced by the circuits are identical in all positions.

## Analyzing the Adder

For bitvector size 2, the OCaml implementation of DPLL with CDCL is adequate.

```
# let associative = ... ;;
<<((((((XY_0 <=> (X_0 <=> ~Y_0) <=> ~CXY_0) /\ (CXY_1 <=> X_0 /\ Y_0 \/ (X_0 \/ Y_0) /\ CXY_0)) /\
  (XY_1 <=> (X_1 <=> ~Y_1) <=> ~CXY_1) /\ (CXY_2 <=> X_1 /\ Y_1 \/ (X_1 \/ Y_1) /\ CXY_1)) /\
  ((XYZ1_0 <=> (XY_0 <=> ~Z_0) <=> ~CXYZ1_0) /\ (CXYZ1_1 <=> XY_0 /\ Z_0 \/ (XY_0 \/ Z_0) /\ CXYZ1_0)) /\
  (XYZ1_1 <=> (XY_1 <=> ~Z_1) <=> ~CXYZ1_1) /\ (CXYZ1_2 <=> XY_1 /\ Z_1 \/ (XY_1 \/ Z_1) /\ CXYZ1_1)) /\
  (((YZ_0 <=> (Y_0 <=> ~Z_0) <=> ~CYZ_0) /\ (CYZ_1 <=> Y_0 /\ Z_0 \/ (Y_0 \/ Z_0) /\ CYZ_0)) /\
  (YZ_1 <=> (Y_1 <=> ~Z_1) <=> ~CYZ_1) /\ (CYZ_2 <=> Y_1 /\ Z_1 \/ (Y_1 \/ Z_1) /\ CYZ_1)) /\
  ((XYZ2_0 <=> (X_0 <=> ~YZ_0) <=> ~CXYZ2_0) /\ (CXYZ2_1 <=> X_0 /\ YZ_0 \/ (X_0 \/ YZ_0) /\ CXYZ2_0)) /\
  (XYZ2_1 <=> (X_1 <=> ~YZ_1) <=> ~CXYZ2_1) /\ (CXYZ2_2 <=> X_1 /\ YZ_1 \/ (X_1 \/ YZ_1) /\ CXYZ2_1)) /\
  (~CXY_0 /\ ~CXYZ1_0) /\ ~CYZ_0 /\ ~CXYZ2_0 ==> (XYZ1_1 <=> XYZ2_1) /\ (XYZ1_0 <=> XYZ2_0)>>

# dplbtaut associative;;
- : bool = true
```

For larger bitvector sizes, we need to employ a more efficient SAT solver.

# Analyzing the Adder

A translation of formulas to Limboole syntax.

```
let rec limboole f =
  match f with
  | Atom(P(x)) -> x | Not(f0) -> "(!" ^ (limboole f0) ^ ")"
  | And(f1,f2) -> "(" ^ (limboole f1) ^ "&" ^ (limboole f2) ^ ")"
  | Or(f1,f2) -> "(" ^ (limboole f1) ^ "|" ^ (limboole f2) ^ ")"
  | Imp(f1,f2) -> "(" ^ (limboole f1) ^ "->" ^ (limboole f2) ^ ")"
  | Iff(f1,f2) -> "(" ^ (limboole f1) ^ "<->" ^ (limboole f2) ^ ")"
  | _ -> failwith "unsupported formula"
;;
print_string (limboole associative);;

alan!318> limboole
((((((XY_0<->((X_0<->(!Y_0))<->(!CXY_0)))&(CXY_1<->((X_0&Y_0)|((X_0|Y_0)&CXY_0))))&((XY_1<->((X_1<->(!Y_1))<->(!CXY_1)))&
(CXY_2<->((X_1&Y_1)|((X_1|Y_1)&CXY_1))))&(((XYZ1_0<->((XY_0<->(!Z_0))<->(!CXYZ1_0)))&(CXYZ1_1<->((XY_0&Z_0)|((XY_0|Z_0)&
CXYZ1_0))))&((XYZ1_1<->((XY_1<->(!Z_1))<->(!CXYZ1_1)))&(CXYZ1_2<->((XY_1&Z_1)|((XY_1|Z_1)&CXYZ1_1))))))&
(((YZ_0<->((Y_0<->(!Z_0))<->(!CYZ_0)))&(CYZ_1<->((Y_0&Z_0)|((Y_0|Z_0)&CYZ_0)))&((YZ_1<->((Y_1<->(!Z_1))<->(!CYZ_1)))&
(CYZ_2<->((Y_1&Z_1)|((Y_1|Z_1)&CYZ_1))))&(((XYZ2_0<->((X_0<->(!YZ_0))<->(!CXYZ2_0)))&(CXYZ2_1<->((X_0&YZ_0)|((X_0|YZ_0)&
CXYZ2_0))))&((XYZ2_1<->((X_1<->(!YZ_1))<->(!CXYZ2_1)))&(CXYZ2_2<->((X_1&YZ_1)|((X_1|YZ_1)&CXYZ2_1))))))&
(((!CXY_0)&(!CXYZ1_0))&(!CYZ_0)&(!CXYZ2_0)))<->((XYZ1_1<->XYZ2_1)&(XYZ1_0<->XYZ2_0)))
% VALID formula
```

Now the problem can be easily solved also for bit vector size 32.