Problems Solved:

46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50

Name:

Matrikel-Nr.:

Problem 46. Let T(n) be the total number of times that the instruction a[i,j] = a[i,j] + 1 is executed during the execution of P(n,0,0).

end procedure

- 1. Compute T(1), T(2) and T(4).
- 2. Give a recurrence relation for T(n).
- 3. Solve your recurrence relation for T(n) in the special case where $n = 2^m$ is a power of two, i.e. derive a guess for and explicit expression of $T(2^m)$ and then prove this formula by induction.
- 4. Use the Master Theorem to determine asymptotic bounds for T(n).

Solution of Problem 46:

1. T(1) = 1, T(2) = 8, T(4) = 482. $T(n) = 4T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + n^2$

3. For powers of two,

$$T(2^m) = 2^{2m} + 4T(2^{m-1})$$

(You may want to view this as a recursion for $t(m):=T(2^m).)$ Unfolding this recurrence twice gives

$$T(2^m) = 4^m + 4 \times (4^{m-1} + 4 \times T(2^{m-2}))$$

which can be simplified. Continuing the pattern, unfolding the recurrence \boldsymbol{k} times gives

$$T(2^m) = k4^m + 4^k T(2^{m-k}).$$

In particular, for k = m we get $T(2^m) = m4^m + 4^mT(1)$. Since T(1) = 1, we get $T(2^m) = (m+1)4^m$.

We must prove this formula by induction on m. If m=0, we get $T(1) = T(2^0) = (0+1)4^0 = 1$, which is obviously correct. Assume that $t \in \mathbb{N}$ is an arbitrary but fixed number and $T(2^t) = (t+1)4^t$. Then $T(2^{t+1}) = 4^{t+1} + 4T(2^t)$ by the recurrence for T. Plugging in the induction hypothesis, we get $T(2^{t+1}) = 4^{t+1} + 4 \times (t+1)4^t = ((t+1)+1)4^{t+1}$. By induction principle, $T(2^m) = (m+1)4^m$ holds for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

4.

$$T(n) = 2^2 T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$$

Divisor for divide-and-conquer: b = 2. Number of new subproblems: $a = 4 = 2^2$. "Critical exponent": $\log_b a = \log_2 4 = 2$. Indeed

$$n^2 = \Theta(n^{\log_b a}).$$

so we are in the case where all $\log_b n = \Theta(\log n)$ layers of the recursion tree contribute and we get

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^2 \log n).$$

This result agrees with what we have found in (3).

Problem 47. Let T(n) be the number of multiplications carried out by the following Java program.

```
1
      int a, b, i, product, max;
2
      max = 1;
3
      a = 0;
 4
      while (a < n) {
        b = a;
5
        while (b <= n) {
6
7
          product = 1;
8
          i = a;
9
          while (i < b) {
10
            product = product * factors[i];
            i = i + 1; \}
11
          if (product > max) { max = product; }
12
13
          b = b + 1; \}
14
        a = a + 1; \}
```

- 1. Determine T(n) exactly as a nested sum.
- 2. Determine T(n) asymptotically using Θ -Notation by a derivation that justifies your result. In your derivation, you may use the asymptotic equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{m} = \Theta(n^{m+1}) \text{ for } n \to \infty$$

for fixed $m \ge 0$ which follows from approximating the sum by an integral:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{m} \simeq \int_{0}^{n} x^{m} \, dx = \frac{1}{m+1} n^{m+1} = \Theta(n^{m+1})$$

Solution of Problem 47:

1.

$$\begin{array}{lll} {\rm line} & {\rm frequency \ of \ execution} \\ 2,3 & 1 \\ 4 & \sum_{0 \le a < n+1} 1 \\ 5,14 & \sum_{0 \le a < n} 1 \\ 6 & \sum_{0 \le a < n} \sum_{a \le b \le n+1} 1 \\ 7,8,12,13 & \sum_{0 \le a < n} \sum_{a \le b \le n} 1 \\ 9 & \sum_{0 \le a < n} \sum_{a \le b \le n} \sum_{a \le i < b+1} 1 \\ 10,11 & \sum_{0 \le a < n} \sum_{a \le b \le n} \sum_{a \le i < b} 1 \end{array}$$

2. See line 10 above:

$$T(n) = \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a}^{n} \sum_{i=a}^{b-1} 1$$

To get the correct answer $T(n) = \Theta(n^3)$ we evaluate

$$T(n) = \sum_{0 \le a < n} \sum_{a \le b \le n} \sum_{a \le i < b} 1$$

starting with the innermost sum:

$$\sum_{a \le i < b} 1 = b -$$

a

We proceed with the sum in the middle, starting with a shift of the summation index b, i.e., we replace b by a + k.

$$\sum_{a \le b \le n} (b-a) = \sum_{0 \le b-a \le n-a} (b-a) = \sum_{0 \le k \le n-a} k = \frac{1}{2}(n-a)(n-a+1)$$
$$\frac{1}{2}(n-a)(n-a+1) = \frac{1}{2}(n^2 - 2na + a^2 + n - a)$$

After splitting the sum $\sum_{0 \leq a < n} \frac{1}{2}(n^2 - 2na + a^2 + n - a)$ and pulling out constant factors (i.e., factors free of the summation index a), all that remains are the sums $\sum_{a=0}^{n-1} a^m$ for m = 0, 1, 2. The asymptotics of these sums is given by the hint, and the final result is $\Theta(n^3)$. Remark: To shorten the calculation, it is tempting to use Θ -notation already in the summands. But those Θ 's would refer to limits involving the summation indices instead of the limit $n \to \infty$. As the limit taken is suppressed in Θ -notation, that would be most confusing for the uninitiated.

Problem 48. Consider the following pseudo code of an implementation of a FIFO (first in first out) queue with two functions enqueue and dequeue.

```
1 input := EMPTYLIST
2 output := EMPTYLIST
3 function enqueue(e, input, output) { push(e, input) }
4 function dequeue(input, output) {
```

```
5 if isempty(output) {
6  while not isempty(input) { push(pop(input), output) }
7 }
8  pop(output)
9 }
```

Analyze its amortized cost of these functions by (a) the aggregate method and (b) the potential method.

Here,

- push(e, L) is the operation of adding an element e to the front of a list L,
- isempty(L) returns TRUE if the list L is empty,
- pop(L) is the operation that removes the first element of a list L and returns it.

All these operations are assumed to cost constant time.

In the code above, a queue is represented by a pair (input,output). Putting a new element into the queue via enqueue, first puts it to the front of input. Only when an element is requested via a call to dequeue, elements are moved from input to output list, thus effectively reversing input so that in total the queue returns its elements in a FIFO principle.

Hint: For the potential method you might want to consider the function Φ such that for a queue q that is represented by the pair (input, output) of two lists, $\Phi(q)$ is the size of the input list.

Solution of Problem 48:

(a) Aggregate method:

Let us assume that in the sequence of n operations occur k dequeue operations. Let the number of enqueue operations after the (i-1)-th dequeue operation and before the *i*-th dequeue operation be denoted by r_i (i = 1, ..., k). And let $r_{k+1} \ge 0$ be such that $n = k + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} r_i$. The size of the input list before the *i*-th dequeue operation is r_i . It is clear that the *i*-th dequeue operation has cost $E \cdot r_{i-1}$ for some constant E. Since the enqueue operation has constant cost C, we get for the total complexity over the n operations.

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (C \cdot r_i + E \cdot r_i) + C \cdot r_{k+1}$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (C + E) \cdot r_i$$
$$\leq (C + E) \cdot k + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (C + E) \cdot r_i$$
$$= (C + E) \cdot n = O(n).$$

Thus, the amortized cost of a single operation is O(1).

(b) Potential method:

Take $C \ge 0$ such that it bounds the constant time of enqueue, and the time of dequeue in the constant case and $C \cdot n$ bounds the time of dequeue in the linear case. Furthermore, let $\Phi(q)$ be the size of the input list of the queue q.

Let c_i be the actual cost and \hat{c}_i be the amortized cost of the *i*-th operation, and let q_i be the queue after the *i*-th operation (i = 1, ..., n). Then we have

• for enqueue:

$$\hat{c}_i = c_i + C(\Phi(q_i) - \Phi(q_{i-1}))$$

 $\leq C + C((n_i + 1) - n_i) = 2C$

Where n_i denotes the size of the input list of q_i .

• for dequeue:

$$\hat{c}_i = c_i + \Phi(q_i) - \Phi(q_{i-1})$$

$$\leq C \cdot n_i + C(0 - n_i) = 0$$

Where n_i denotes the size of the input list of q_i . Thus, the amortized cost of one operation is O(1).

Problem 49. Consider a RAM program that evaluates the value of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2$ in the naive way (by iteration). Analyze the worst-case asymptotic time and space complexity of this program assuming the existence of operations ADD r and MUL r for the addition and multiplication of the accumulator with the content of register r.

- 1. Determine a Θ -expression for the number S(n) of registers used in the program with input n (space complexity).
- 2. Determine a Θ -expression for the number T(n) of instructions executed for input *n* (time complexity in constant cost model),
- 3. Assume a simplified version of the logarithmic cost model of a RAM where the cost of every operaton is proportional to the length of the arguments involved. In particular, if a is the (bit) length of the accumulator and l is the (bit) length of the content of register r then MUL \mathbf{r} costs a+l and ADD \mathbf{r} costs $\max(a, l)$.

Determine the asymptotic costs C(n) (using O-notation) of the program for input n.

As usual, you must give appropriate justification for each of your results.

Solution of Problem 49:

- 1. There is only need for accumulator, a register for the resulting sum and a register for the summation index. So we get $S(n) = \Theta(1)$.
- 2. Since multiplication can be done by just one operation, we only have to iterate over the summation index, i.e., $T(n) = \Theta(n)$.
- 3. The cost of the *i*-th iteration is:

multiplication of accumulator with Register 2 (holding i)

- LOAD 2 $\ldots \log(i)$
- MUL 2... $\log(i) + \log(i)$

addition of partial sum with i^2

- accu already has i^2
- partial sum $s = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} i^2 = \Theta(i^3)$
- cost of addition: $\max(\log(i^2), \log(s)) = \Theta(3\log(i))$

We have to compute the sum

$$C(n) = O\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(i^2) + 3\log(i))\right)$$
$$\leq O\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log(n^2) + 3\log(n))\right)$$
$$= O(n\log n)$$

Problem 50. Take the following recursive program.

Let C(n) be the number of comparisons executed in line 2 while running f(n, 0) for some positive integer n.

- 1. Write down a recurrence for C and determine enough initial values.
- 2. Solve that recurrence for the given initial values and arguments n of the form $n = 3^m$, i.e., derive a guess for a closed form expression for $C(3^m)$.
- 3. Prove by induction that your guess is correct.

Solution of Problem 50:

- 1. $C(n) = 1 + 2 \cdot C(\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor), C(0) = 1.$
- 2. Let $n = 3^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$C(3^{m}) = 1 + 2C(3^{m-1}) = \dots = 1 + 2 + \dots + 2^{m-1} + 2^{m}C(3^{(m-m)})$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m} 2^{k} + 2 \cdot 2^{m}$$
$$= 2^{m+1} - 1 + 2^{m+1} = 2^{m+2} - 1$$

3. We show $C(3^m) = 2^{m+2} - 1$ by induction on m. For m = 0 we have $C(3^0) = 1 + 2C(\lfloor \frac{1}{3} \rfloor) = 3 = 2^{0+2} - 1$, i.e., we have shown the induction base. Now assume that for an arbitrary but fixed k it holds $C(3^m) = 2^{m+2} - 1$ (induction hypothesis). Then

$$\begin{split} C(3^{k+1}) &= 1 + 2C\left(\left\lfloor \frac{3^{k+1}}{3} \right\rfloor\right) = 1 + 2C(3^k) \\ &= 1 + 2(2^{k+2} - 1) = 1 + 2^{(k+1)+2} - 2 = 2^{(k+1)+2} - 1. \end{split}$$

Therefore, by induction principle, $C(3^m)=2^{m+2}-1$ holds for all natural numbers m.