Computability and Complexity Exam January 31, 2020

Wolfgang Schreiner Wolfgang.Schreiner@risc.jku.at

Family Name:

Given Name:

Matriculation Number:

Study Code:

Total: 100 Points.

 \geq 50 Points: GEN4 \geq 63 Points: BEF3 \geq 75 Points: GUT2 \geq 88 Points: SGT1 Please write on the empty sheets/back pages; you may also add additional pages.

- 1. (20P) Let L be the language over the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ whose words contain 00 and 11 (e.g., 0010111 and 01101001 are in L, but not 001 and not 0101).
 - a) (5P) Give a regular expression for L.
 - b) (6P) Define a non-deterministic (not a deterministic) finite state machine $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, S, F)$ whose language is *L* (the transition function by both a table and a graph).
 - c) (9P) Define a deterministic finite state machine whose language is L (the transition function by both a table and a graph).
- 2. (15P) Give a finite state machine over the alphabet $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ whose language is the language of the following regular expression:

$$(a + (b \cdot c)^* \cdot d)^* + e$$

It suffices to depict the machine by a graph; show also the crucial intermediate steps of the construction, not only the final result.

- 3. (10P) Let *L* be the language of all bit strings that have at most 5 occurrences of bit 1 directly after each other and at most 5 occurrences of bit 0 directly after each other (i.e., 000 and 01111101 are in *L* but 1000000 is not). Is *L* regular or not? Justify your answer in detail (hint: the justification does *not* demand the construction of a concrete state machine for the language).
- 4. (10P) Is the problem "Does Turing-machine *M* accept any input?" (i.e., "Is there some word *w* such that *M* accepts *w*?") semi-decidable? Is it decidable? Justify both of your answers in detail, e.g., by a construction of a Turing machine that takes input $\langle M \rangle$ and (semi-)decides the problem or by arguing why such a machine does not exist.
- 5. (10P) Take the function $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ defined as

$$f(y,x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y + y \ge x \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

What does the function $(\mu f): \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ compute? Is (μf) LOOP-computable? If not, argue why not. If yes, give a LOOP program that computes (μf) (in such a program you may freely use the addition function, the greater-equal predicate, and the conditional statement, all of which are clearly LOOP-computable).

6. (10P) Is the following statement true or not?

$$\sqrt{3n^2 + 5} = O(n)$$

Justify your answer by a proof (possibly by contradiction) using the definition of O.

7. (15P) Take the Java function

```
static int f(int a, int b) {
    if (a+1 >= b) return 1;
    int s = 1;
    s = s+f(a,(a+b)/2);
    s = s+f((a+b)/2,b);
    return s;
}
```

Let T(n) denote the total number of calls of f in the execution of f(a, b) where n = b - a; you may assume $n = 2^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

- a) (3P) Sketch the recursion tree for the execution of f(0, 16) and compute T(16).
- b) (3P) Give a definition of T(n) as a recurrence (do not forget the base case).
- c) (3P) Give an explicit solution of T(n) by a sum of the nodes in each tree level.
- d) (3P) Give an explicit solution of T(n) by a closed formula.
- e) (3P) Give an asymptotic estimation $T(n) = \Theta(...)$.
- 8. (10P) Are the following statements true or not? Justify your answers.
 - a) (5P) If a nondeterministic Turing-machine can decide in polynomial time whether an arbitrary propositional formula is satisfiable, then $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP}$.
 - b) (5P) If $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP}$, then every problem that can be decided by a deterministic Turing machine in exponential time can also be decided by a deterministic Turing machine in polynomial time.