| Gruppe | Popov (8:30) | Hopov (9:15) | Hemmecke (10:15) | Hemmecke (11:00) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name |  |  | Matrikel |  |  |  |  |  | SKZ |  |

# Klausur 2 <br> Berechenbarkeit und Komplexität <br> 18. Januar 2019 

Part 1 RecFun2018
Let $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a primitive recursive function and let $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow_{p} \mathbb{N}$ be $a$ $\mu$-recursive function.

| $\mathbf{1}$ | yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | no |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | no |

Is $f$ necessarily $\mu$-recursive?
If $g$ is a total function, is it then also necessarily primitive recursive?
Assume that for every natural number $x$ for which $g$ is defined the equation $g(x)<f(x)$ holds. Can it be concluded that $g$ is primitive recursive?

Conterexample: Let $g$ be a not total function.

| 4 |  | no $\quad$ Assume there is a LOOP program that for every natural number $x$ computes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | the value $g(f(x))$. Is then $g$ necessarily primitive recursive?

Counterexample: Let $g$ be the (not primitive recursive) function that is only defined at 0 , so that $g(0)=0$ and let $f$ be the zero function.

Assume there is a LOOP program that for every natural number $x$ computes the value $f(g(x))$. Is then $g$ necessarily primitive recursive?

Counterexample: $f$ is the zero function and $g$ is a total function that is not primitive recursive. Then $f \circ g=f$ and, therefore, primitive recursive, i.e., LOOP-computable.

Part 2 Grammar2018
Consider the grammar $G=(N, \Sigma, P, S)$ where $N=\{S, A\}, \Sigma=\{0,1\}, P=$ $\{S \rightarrow 1 A A 0, A A \rightarrow A A A, A \rightarrow \varepsilon\}$.

| $\mathbf{6}$ |  | no |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | yes |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ |  | no |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | yes |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | yes |  |

Is $1000 \in L(G)$ ?
Is $L(G)$ finite?
Is the grammar $G$ context-free?
Is there a right-linear grammar $G^{\prime}$ such that $L(G)=L\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ ?
Does for every Turing machine $M$ exist a grammar $H$ such that $L(M)=$ $L(H)$ ?

Part 3 Decidable2018
Consider the following problems. In each problem below, the input of the problem is the code $\langle M\rangle$ of a Turing machine $M=\left(Q, \Gamma, \sqcup,\{0,1\}, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$.
Let $L_{A}(M)$ be the set of words that $M$ accepts in at most 2018 steps.
For $w \in\{0,1\}^{*}$ let $b(w)$ be the natural number denoted by the bitstring $1 w$.
Problem A: Is $L_{A}(M)$ finite?
Problem B: Is there a $\mu$-recursive function $f_{M}$ such that for every word $w \in$ $\{0,1\}^{*}$ the Turing machine $M$ halts on $w$ if and only if $f_{M}(b(w))=0$ ?
Problem C: Does there exist a right-linear grammar $G$ such that $L(M)=L(G)$ ? Problem D: Does M halt on at least one word $w \notin L(M)$ ?

If we find a word $w \in\{0,1\}^{*}$ of length exactly 2018 that is accepted by $M$, then any longer word with $w$ as a prefix is also accepted, i.e., $L_{A}(M)$ is infinite. If such a word cannot be found, then since there is only a finite number of words with length $<2018, L_{A}(M)$ is finite. In other words, $A$ is decidable.

## Is $B$ decidable?

Every Turing machine $M$ can be simulated by a WHILE program $P_{M}$. If $M$ halts, also $P_{M}$ halts. We can modify $P_{M}$ to another WHILE program $W_{M}$ such that it returns 0 in this case. If $M$ does not halt, also $P_{M}$ (and therefore $W_{M}$ ) does not halt. Clearly $W_{M}$ computes a $\mu$-recursive function $f_{M}$ with the properties given in Problem $B$. In other words, such an $f_{M}$ does exist for every Turing machine $M$. Problem $B$ can trivially be answered by a Turing machine that always says "yes". Problem $B$ is, therefore, decidable.

| $\mathbf{1 3}$ |  | no $\quad$ Is $C$ decidable? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Rice Theorem

\section*{| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | yes $\quad$ Is $D$ semi-decidable? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |}

Run $M$ (in parallel) on all words (usual trick of doing one step of the run of all instances of $M$ and starting a new instance of $M$ on the next word). Whenever an instance halts in a non-accepting state, the answer to problem $D$ is "yes".

Let $P \subseteq\{0,1\}^{*}$ be a decision problem such that the restricted Halting problem is is reducible to $P$. Can it be concluded that $P$ is undecidable?

Part 4 Complexity2018
Let $f(n)=20^{n}+n^{18}, g(n)=n^{20}+18^{n}$, and $h(n)=n^{20} \cdot \log _{2}\left(n^{18}\right)$.

| $\mathbf{1 6}$ |  | no |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ |  | no |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ |  | no |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | yes |  |

Is it true that $f(n)=\Theta(g(n))$ ?
Is it true that $g(n)=O(h(n))$ ?
Is it true that $100^{n}=O\left(10^{n}\right)$ ?
Is it true that $n!=O\left(n^{n}\right)$ ?
Part 5 LoopWhile2018
Let $f, g: \mathbb{N}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be defined as follows

$$
f(a, b):=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & \text { if } a<b, \\
0, & \text { otherwise } ;
\end{array} \quad g(a, b):= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } a<b, \\
1, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}\right.
$$

| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | yes |  |

Are both $f$ and $g$ LOOP computable functions?
Is ( $\mu \mathrm{f})$ a LOOP computable function?
$(\mu f)(b)=b$.

| 22 | no | Is $(\mu \mathrm{g})$ a LOOP computable function? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

$(\mu g)(0)$ is undefined.

Part 6 OpenComputability2018
The syntax of a LOOP program is given by:

$$
P::=x_{i}=0\left|x_{i}:=x_{j}+1\right| x_{i}:=x_{j}-1|P ; P| \text { loop } x_{i} \text { do } P \text { end }
$$

Please note that the arithmetic operations allowed in a LOOP program are only $x_{i}:=x_{j}+1$ and $x_{i}:=x_{j}-1$.

Write a LOOP program that computes the function $c(n)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2}$.
loop $x_{1}$ do $\quad / /$ for $x_{1}=n$ downto 1
loop $x_{1}$ do $\quad / /$ Compute $x_{0}:=x_{0}+x_{1}^{2}$. loop $x_{1}$ do $x_{0}:=x_{0}+1$; end;
end;
$x_{1}:=x_{1}-1 ;$
end;

Let $B(n)$ be the minimal number of commands of the form $x_{i}:=x_{j}+1$ that are executed by a LOOP program that computes $c(n)$. Express $B(n)$ in $\Omega$ notation.
$B(n)=\Omega(\quad)$
The result $c(n)=\frac{n(n+1)(2 n+1)}{6}$ can only be achieved by executing $\Omega\left(n^{3}\right)$ times a command of the form $x_{i}:=x_{j}+1$.

