

Gruppe	Hemmecke (10:15)	Hemmecke (11:00)						Popov			
Name		Matrikel						SKZ			

Klausur 2

Berechenbarkeit und Komplexität

12. Januar 2018

Part 1 RecFun2017

Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow_P \mathbb{N}$ be two partial functions that are defined as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ \text{undefined} & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 2f(x-2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 & \text{if } x \text{ is odd,} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $F(x) = f(f(2x))$ and $h(x) = f(x) + g(x)$.

1		no
----------	--	----

Is f primitive recursive?

2	yes	
----------	-----	--

Is F primitive recursive?

Obviously, f is defined for even input and has an even result in these cases. Thus $f(2x) = 2f(2(x-1)) = \dots = 2^x f(0) = 2^x$. Therefore, $F(x) = f(2 \cdot 2^{x-1}) = 2^{2^{x-1}}$.

3	yes	
----------	-----	--

Is g μ -recursive?

4	yes	
----------	-----	--

Is h μ -recursive?

A nowhere defined function is, of course, μ -recursive.

5		no
----------	--	----

Can every total function of type $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be computed by a Turing machine?

Let $H : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the function that checks whether the input n is the code of a Turing machine. If it is not then $H(n) = 2$. If it is then $H(n)$ returns 1 if the TM corresponding to n halts on the empty input and returns 0, if that TM does not halt. Clearly, H is a total function, but if it were Turing computable, then the restricted Halting problem would be decidable.

Part 2 Grammar2017

Consider the grammar $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ where $N = \{S, A\}$, $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$, $P = \{S \rightarrow 0AA, 00A \rightarrow 10A, A \rightarrow 0A, A \rightarrow 0\}$.

6		no
----------	--	----

Is $L(G)$ finite?

Consider the rule $A \rightarrow 0A$.

7	yes	
----------	-----	--

Is $1000 \in L(G)$?

$S \rightarrow 0\underline{A}A \rightarrow \underline{00}AA \rightarrow 10\underline{A}A \rightarrow 100\underline{A} \rightarrow 1000$

8		no
----------	--	----

Is the grammar G context-free?

9	yes	
----------	-----	--

Is there a Turing machine M such that $L(M) = L(G)$?

10		no
-----------	--	----

Does for every Turing machine M' exist a context-sensitive grammar G' such that $L(M') = L(G')$?

see Chomsky hierarchy

Part 3 Decidable2017

Consider the following problems. In each problem below, the input of the problem is the code $\langle M \rangle$ of a Turing machine $M = (Q, \Gamma, \sqcup, \{0, 1\}, \delta, q_0, F)$.

Problem A: Does $L(M)$ contain the word 2017 in binary expansion?

Problem B: Does there exist a grammar G such that $L(M) = L(G)$.

Problem C: Is there a Turing machine M' with $L(M') \neq L(M)$

Problem D: Does there exist some word w such that M accepts w ?

11		no
----	--	----

Is A decidable?

Rice Theorem.

12	yes	
----	-----	--

Is B semi-decidable?

A language generated by an unrestricted grammars is recursively enumerable and vice versa. So the question actually is whether the problem “true” is (semi-)decidable. That problem is even decidable, namely by a Turing machine that always return “yes” no matter what its input is.

13	yes	
----	-----	--

Is C decidable?

There are infinitely many recursively enumerable languages. Among them is certainly a language $L \neq L(M)$. Since L is recursively enumerable, there exists a Turing machine M' with $L = L(M')$. So the answer to problem C is always “yes”, and that is decidable.

14	yes	
----	-----	--

Is D semi-decidable?

Run M (in parallel) on all words (usual trick of doing one step of the run of all instances of M and starting a new instance of M on the next word). Whenever an instance halts in an accepting state, the answer to problem D is “yes”.

15		no
----	--	----

Let $P, P' \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ and let M be a Turing machine that for every $w \in P$ computes a word $w' \in P'$ and for every $w \notin P$ computes a word $w' \notin P'$. Assume P is decidable. Can it in general be concluded that P' is decidable?

We have $P(w) \iff P'(f(w))$ where f is the “computable function” (that is required in Definition 42) computed by M . Thus $P \leq P'$. We would need the “other” direction, namely $P' \leq P$, i. e., a computable function g such that $P(g(w)) \iff P'(w)$ in order to apply Theorem 32 (lecture notes). Since nothing about such a g is known and its existence cannot be concluded from f , it cannot be concluded that P' is decidable.

Part 4 Complexity2017

Let $f(n) = 20^n + 17^n$, $g(n) = (20 + 17)^n$, and $h(n) = n^{20} + n^{17}$.

16		no
----	--	----

Is it true that $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$?

17	yes	
----	-----	--

Is it true that $h(n) = O(f(n))$?

18		no
----	--	----

Is it true that $2^{h(n)} = O(g(n))$?

19	yes	
----	-----	--

Is it true that $\frac{1}{n} = O(\frac{1}{10^8})$?

Part 5 LoopWhile2017

Let P be a WHILE program that computes a total function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ where x_1 is the input of the program P and x_0 its output. Let W be the following WHILE program that computes a function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

```
loop  $x_1$  do  $P$ ;  $x_1 := x_0 + 1$  end;
```

Furthermore, let P' and W' be the programs that are obtained from P and W by replacing every loop by while, respectively. Let f' and g' the functions that are computed by P' and W' respectively.

20 | yes |

Is f Turing-computable?

Since f WHILE-computable.

21 | yes |

Additionally assume that f is primitive recursive. Can it be concluded that g is LOOP-computable?

Since f is primitive recursive, there exists a LOOP program P_L that computes f . Then the program W_L (which is like W with P replaced by P_L) is a LOOP program that computes g .

22 | | no

If f' is a total function, can it be concluded that there exists a LOOP program that computes f' ?

A **loop** statement can always be rewritten into an equivalent **while** statement. Let X be a program that computes $\text{ack}(x, x)$. Let P be as X but rewritten to contain no **loop** statement. Then $P' = P$. Thus f' is total, but not primitive recursive.

23 | yes |

Is the problem “ $n \in \text{range}(g')$ ” (i.e., “Does there exist some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g'(m) = n$?”) decidable?
(Formally: Let $b : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ be the (Turing-computable) function that takes a natural number n as input and returns the binary representation of n . Is the set $R = \{b(n) \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid n \in \text{range}(g')\}$ decidable?)

Obviously W' does not execute the body of the the outermost **while** if $x_1 = 0$. In that case $x_0 = 0$ is the result. In all other cases W' does not terminate. Therefore, $R = \{0\}$ and that set is finite and thus decidable.

Part 6 OpenComputability2017

The syntax of a LOOP program is given by:

$P ::= x_i = 0 \mid x_i := x_j + 1 \mid x_i := x_j - 1 \mid P; P \mid \text{loop } x_i \text{ do } P \text{ end}$

Please note that the arithmetic operation allowed in a LOOP program are only $x_i := x_j + 1$ and $x_i := x_j - 1$.

24 | 1 Point

Write a LOOP program that computes the function $c(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n k$.

```
x0 := x1 + 1;
x0 := x0 - 1; //x0 := x1
loop x1 do //sum_{x1...0}
  x1 := x1 - 1;
  loop x1 do x0 := x0 + 1; end;
end;
```

25 | 1 Point

Determine an asymptotic lower bound $B(n)$ for the number of of executions of commands of the form $x_i := x_j + 1$ and $x_i := x_j - 1$ for any LOOP program that computes $c(n)$. Use Ω notation.

$B(n) = \Omega(\quad)$

The result $c(n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ can only be achieved by executing at least $\Omega(n^2)$ times a command of the form $x_i := x_j + 1$.