| Gruppe | Hemmecke (10:15) Hemmecke (11:00) | Popov (11:00) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name |  | Matrikel |  |  |  |  |  | SKZ |  |

## Klausur 1 <br> Berechenbarkeit und Komplexität

22. November 2013

Part 1 NFSM2013
Let $N$ be the nondeterministic finite state machine

$$
\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right\},\{0,1\}, \nu,\left\{q_{0}\right\},\left\{q_{1}, q_{4}\right\}\right),
$$

whose transition function $\nu$ is given below.


| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | no $\quad$ Is $001000100101 \in L(N) ?$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

A word $w \in L(N)$ with $|w|>1$ never ends with 101.

| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | no |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | no |
|  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | yes |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  | no |

Is $L(N)$ finite?
Is $L(N)=L(r)$ for the regular expression $r=(0010)^{*}(01+0)$ ?
Arden's lemma yields $r=(0010)^{*} 0(00+1+\varepsilon)$ ?
Let $L=\left\{01^{n} w \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, w \in\{0,1\}^{*} \backslash L(N)\right\}$. Is $L$ a regular language?
Is there a deterministic finite state machine $M$ with less than 4 states such that $L(M)=L(N)$ ?

The only words of $L(N)$ with less than 4 letters are 0,01 , and 000 . All other words start with a finite repetition of the 4 letter word 0010.
Obviously, a DFSM that is able to allow only 4-letter-repetitions (and not shorter repetitions) must have at least 4 states.
 $L(T)=L(N)^{*}$ ?

We have $L(N)^{*}=L(r)$ for $r=\left((0010)^{*} 0(00+1+\varepsilon)\right)^{*}$. Hence, $L(N)^{*}$ is regular, and thus also recursively enumerable.

Does for each Turing machine $H$ (that halts on every input) exists a nondeterministic finite state machine $F$ such that $L(F)=L(N) \cap L(H)$ ?

For example, let $H$ be such that $L(H)=\left\{(0010)^{n^{2}} 0(00+1+\varepsilon) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Then $L(N) \cap L(H)=L(H)$.
However, $L(H)$ is not regular.

Part 2 Pumping2013
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=\left\{a^{\left(m^{2}\right)} b^{n} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\
& L_{2}=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, n<1000\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\mathbf{8}$ |  | no |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | yes |  |

Is there a regular expression $r$ such that $L(r)=L_{1}$ ?
Is there a deterministic finite state machine $M$ such that $L(M)=\overline{L_{2}}:=$ $\{a, b\}^{*} \backslash L_{2}$ ?
$L_{2}$ is regular, i.e., its complement $\overline{L_{2}}$ is also regular.

| 10 | yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 11 | yes |  |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | yes |  |

Is there an enumerator Turing machine $G$ such that $\operatorname{Gen}(G)=L_{1}$ ?
Is there a Turing machine $M$ such that $L(M)=L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ ?
Is there an deterministic finite state machine $D$ such that $L(D)=L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ ?
The language $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ is finite and thus regular.

Part $3 \quad$ WhileLoop2013
Let $T$ and $H$ be Turing machines with the property that $H$ halts on every input. Furtermore assume that $T$ and $H$ compute functions $t, h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, respectively. (We assume that a natural number $n$ is encoded on the tape as a string of $n$ letters 0.)

Every Turing machine can be simulated by a while program.

| 14 | no $\quad$ Is there a LOOP-programm that computes $h$ ? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

The Ackermann function ack is a total function that is not primitive recursive. Hence, if $H$ is the Turing machine that computes $h(n)=\operatorname{ack}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n})$, then we can assume that $H$ holds on every input. However, since $h$ is not primitive recursive, there cannot be a corresponding LOOP-program.

| 15 | yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | yes |  |

## Is t a recursive function?

Is every primitive recursive function computable by a LOOP-program?
Part 4 Recursive2013
Let $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a recursive function that is defined on $D=\operatorname{domain}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and define another function $d: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$
d(n)= \begin{cases}f(n) & \text { if } n \in D  \tag{1}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

| $\mathbf{1 7}$ |  | no $\quad$ Can it be concluded that d is LOOP-computable? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Not every total function is primitive recursive. The function $f(n)=d(n)=\operatorname{ack}(n, n)$ is a total function that is not primitive recursive. Only primitive recursive functions are LOOP-computable.

| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | yes | $\quad$ Does there exist an enumerator Turing machine $M$ such that $\operatorname{Gen}(M)=$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | $\left\{0^{f(n)} \mid n \in D\right\}$ ?

 enumerable?
$f$ is a recursive function iff $f$ is Turing computable iff its graph is recursively enumerable. Thus one can construct an enumerator $G$ that generates simply the first component of the graph of $f$, i.e.
$\operatorname{Gen}(G)=D$. But that would mean $D$ is recursively enumerable.

Part 5 TM2013
Let $M=\left(Q, \Gamma, \sqcup, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ be a Turing machine with $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}, \Sigma=$ $\{0,1\}, \Gamma=\{0,1, \sqcup\}, F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$. The transition function

$$
\delta: Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow_{P} Q \times \Gamma \times\{L, R\}
$$

is given by the following table.

| $\delta$ | 0 | 1 | $\sqcup$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $q_{0}$ | $\left(q_{1}, 0, R\right)$ | $\left(q_{0}, 0, R\right)$ | - |
| $q_{1}$ | $\left(q_{0}, 0, L\right)$ | $\left(q_{2}, 0, R\right)$ | $\left(q_{0}, 0, R\right)$ |
| $q_{2}$ | - | - | - |


| $\mathbf{2 0}$ |  | no $\quad$ Is $q_{0} 110 \vdash 1 q_{0} 10 \vdash 11 q_{0} 0 \vdash 110 q_{1} \sqcup \vdash 1100 q_{0} \sqcup$ a computation of $M$ ? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$M$ always writes a 0 , so the computation rather looks like $q_{0} 110 \vdash 0 q_{0} 10 \vdash 00 q_{0} 0 \vdash 000 q_{1} \sqcup \vdash 0000 q_{0} \sqcup$.

| $\mathbf{2 1}$ |  | no $\quad$ Is $001 \in L(M)$ ? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

The machine $M$ does not terminate. It rather loops between state $q_{0}$ and state $q_{1}$ and moves its head just between the two initial 0 's.

| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | yes |  |

Is $L(M)$ a recursively enumerable language?
Is there a Turing machine $H$ that halts on every input with $L(H)=L(M)$.
If the machine $M$ hits 00 , it jumps into a loop and the corresponding word will never be accepted. That's the only case where it will not terminate. So, one can simply change the transition function $\delta$ of $M$ in one single place, namely we let $\delta\left(q_{1}, 0\right)=\left(q_{2}, 0, R\right)$ and take this modified Turing machine as $H$. $H$ always moves its head to the right and must thus eventually (since the input is finite) hit a $\sqcup$. From there it will be at most one step to termination.

Part 6 Open2013
((2 points))
Let $N=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ be a nondeterministic finite state machine with $Q=$ $\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right\}, \Sigma=\{0,1\}, S=\left\{q_{0}\right\}, F=\left\{q_{0}, q_{3}\right\}$, and transition function $\delta$ as given below.


1. Let $X_{i}$ denote the regular expression for the language accepted by $N$ when starting in state $q_{i}$.
Write down an equation system for $X_{0}, \ldots, X_{3}$.
2. Give a regular expression $r$ such that $L(r)=L(N)$ (you may apply Arden's Lemma to the result of 1).

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{0} & =1 X_{1}+(0+1) X_{2}+\varepsilon \\
X_{1} & =0 X_{2} \\
X_{2} & =1 X_{3} \\
X_{3} & =1 X_{1}+\varepsilon \\
r & =((0+1)+10)(110)^{*} 1+\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

